arXiv:1203.5972v4 [math.MG] 14 Dec 2012

Stable H-minimal hypersurfaces

Francescopaolo Montefalcone[1,2]

Abstract

We prove some stability results for smooth H-minimal hypersurfaces immersed in a sub-Riemannian k-step Carnot group $\mathbb{G}$. The main tools are the formulae for the 1st and 2nd variation of the H-perimeter measure σ_H^{n-1}.

Key words and phrases: Carnot groups; H-minimal hypersurfaces; 1st and 2nd variation of the H-perimeter; stability; characteristic set.
Mathematics Subject Classification: 49Q15, 46E35, 22E60.

Contents

Abstract 1
1. Introduction 1
1.1. Carnot groups 4
1.2. Hypersurfaces and measures 5
2. Divergence formulae 7
2.1. Preliminary remarks concerning the 1st variation formula 10
3. Some technical preliminaries about the connection 1-forms 11
4. Variational formulae for the H-perimeter σ_H^{n-1} 15
5. Geometric identities for constant H-mean curvature hypersurfaces 25
6. Stability of H-minimal hypersurfaces 28
6.1. Examples 29
6.2. An example in the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$ 31
References 33

1. Introduction

In[3] Differential Geometry a minimal (hyper)surface of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (or, of a Riemannian manifold $(M^n, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$) is a smooth codimension one submanifold having zero mean curvature. We recall that the Riemannian mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$ of a hypersurface S is the trace of its 2nd fundamental form $B_{\mathcal{R}}$, which is the $\mathbf{C}^\infty$-bilinear form defined as $B_{\mathcal{R}}(X,Y) := \langle \nabla_X Y, \nu\rangle$ for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(TS) := \mathbf{C}^\infty(S, TS)$, where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection on the ambient space (either $\mathbb{R}^n$ or M) and ν is the unit normal vector along S. Note that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}} = -div_{TS}\,\nu$. Minimal hypersurfaces turn out to be critical points of the Riemannian $(n-1)$-dimensional volume $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}$ and hence, studying stability of a minimal hypersurface S means to study conditions under which S turns out to be a minimum of the functional $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}$. For this reason the 2nd variation formula of $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}$ becomes a fundamental tool and, in order to avoid boundary contributions, we can use compactly supported normal variations of S. For an introduction to these topics in the Euclidean and/or Riemannian setting we refer the reader to the surveys by Chern [21], Lawson [47] and Osserman [61]; see also Simons' paper [71]. Finally, for some results concerning stability of minimal and CMC hypersurfaces, we would like to mention the papers [10], [11], [28], [31].

That of Minimal Surfaces is one of the great chapters of the XX century Mathematics, above all, because was a rich source of entirely new ideas and theories such as that of Currents, introduced by

---

[1] F. M. has been partially supported by the Fondazione CaRiPaRo Project "Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations: models, analysis, and control-theoretic problems".

[2] The author wishes to thank the anonymous referee for many helpful comments that improved the paper.

[3] **Warning:** In this new version, I have corrected some imprecisions and, more importantly, I have removed the last section of the previous version. The reason for this change have been some non-trivial modifications to the preprint [57]. No other substantial changes have been made.

Federer and Fleming [30] (see Federer's fundamental treatise [29]), that of Sets of Finite Perimeter created by De Giorgi and its school starting from the pioneering work of Caccioppoli (see the book by Giusti [38] or [3]), and that of Varifolds, heavily inspired by Almgreen and developed by Allard in [1, 2]. A highly recommended introduction for these topics is, of course, the book by Simon [70]; see also the survey by Bombieri [14] and Morgan's book [60].

In this paper, we study some of these problems, in the sub-Riemannian setting of Carnot groups. We recall that a *sub-Riemannian manifold* is a smooth n-dimensional manifold M, endowed with a non-integrable distribution $H \subset TM$ of h-planes, called the *horizontal bundle*, on which a (positive definite) metric g_H is given. The horizontal bundle H satisfies the *Hörmander condition* and this implies the validity of Chow theorem so that, different points can always be joined by *horizontal curves* (i.e. curves that are everywhere tangent to H). The idea is simply that, in connecting two points, we are only allowed to follow horizontal paths joining them. The *CC-distance* d_H, is then defined by minimizing the g_H-length of horizontal curves connecting two given points: this is the distance used in sub-Riemannian geometry. As an introduction to these topics, we refer the reader to Gromov [40], Montgomery [58], Pansu [62, 63], Strichartz [74]. In this context, Carnot groups play a role similar to Euclidean spaces in Riemannian geometry. They serve as a model for the tangent space of a sub-Riemannian manifold and, further, represent a wide class of examples of these geometries. By definition, a *k-step Carnot group* $\mathbb{G}$ is a n-dimensional, connected, simply connected and nilpotent Lie group (with respect to a group law $\bullet$ which is polynomial) having a *k-step stratified Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. This means that $\mathfrak{g}$ splits into a direct sum of vector subspaces satisfying suitable commuting relations. More precisely, we have $\mathfrak{g} = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_k$, $[H_1, H_i] = H_{i+1}$ for every $i = 1, ..., k-1$ and $[H_1, H_i] = 0$ for every $i \geq k$, where the brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denote Lie brackets. We assume that $h_i = \dim H_i\, (i = 1, ..., k)$ so that $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} h_i$. The stratification of $\mathfrak{g}$ can be seen as the algebraic counterpart of the Hörmander condition.

We recall that Carnot groups are homogeneous groups, in the sense that they admit a family of positive anisotropic dilations modeled on the stratification; see [73]. This richness of geometric structures, makes interesting the study of Geometric Measure Theory in Carnot groups; see, for instance, [4], [5], [6], [9], [22], [36], [32, 33, 34, 35], [54, 55, 56], [50, 51, 52], [59] and bibliographies therein. We also cite [13], [17, 18], [19], [25, 26], [37], [64], [44], [66], [67] for many important results concerning H-minimal and/or constant horizontal mean curvature (hyper)surfaces of the Heisenberg group. Nevertheless, here we have to remark that not much is known about the geometry of smooth H-minimal hypersurfaces in general groups.

The aim of this paper, which is somehow a continuation of [56], is studying the stability of smooth H-minimal hypersurfaces immersed in k-step Carnot groups. Let us briefly describe our results.

In Section 1.1, we fix notation and main definitions concerning Carnot groups. We use a left invariant frame $\underline{X} := \{X_1, ..., X_n\}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ adapted to the stratification and fix a Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ making $\underline{X}$ orthonormal (henceforth abbreviated as o.n.). This frame satisfies some non-trivial commuting relations encoded by the so-called structural constants $C^{\mathfrak{g}}{}^{r}_{i,j} := \left\langle [X_i, X_j], X_r \right\rangle \; \forall\, i, j, r = 1, ..., n$. Note also that the (uniquely determined) left invariant Levi Civita connection ∇ can be expressed in terms of structural constants. The projection of ∇ onto the horizontal space H is denoted by ∇^H and called horizontal connection.

In Section 1.2 we recall basic facts about immersed hypersurfaces endowed with the H-perimeter measure σ^{n-1}_{H}. Note that $\sigma^{n-1}_{H} = |\mathcal{P}_H \nu|\, \sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}}$, where $\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the $(n-1)$-dimensional Riemannian measure, ν is the unit (Riemannian) normal along S and $\mathcal{P}_H$ is the projection onto H. Let $\nu_{_H} = \frac{\mathcal{P}_H \nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|}$ be the unit horizontal normal along S and let $HS \subset TS$ be the horizontal tangent space, which is $(h-1)$-dimensional at each non-characteristic point $p \in S \setminus C_S$, where $C_S := \{p \in S : |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| = 0\}$ denotes the characteristic set. It turns out that $H_p = H_p S \oplus span_{\mathbb{R}}\{\nu_{_H}(p)\}$ at each $p \in S \setminus C_S$. This allows us to define the horizontal 2nd fundamental form by setting $B_H(X, Y) := \left\langle \nabla^H_X Y, \nu_{_H} \right\rangle$ for every $X, Y \in \mathbf{C}^1(S, HS)$. However, this object is not symmetric, in general. Thus it can be decomposed in its symmetric and skew-symmetric parts, i.e. $B_H = S_H + A_H$.

In Section 2 we discuss some divergence-type formulae, which are very important tools. In particular, these results enable us to define the horizontal tangential operators $\mathcal{D}_{HS}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{HS}$, which are analogous, in this SR setting, to tangential divergence div_{TS} and Laplacian Δ_{TS}. An important fact is the validity of the formula

$$-\int_S \varphi\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varphi\,\sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_S |grad_{HS}\,\varphi|^2\,\sigma_H^{n-1}$$

for every compactly supported function $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S \setminus C_S) \cap W^{1,2}_{HS}(S;\sigma_H^{n-1})$; see Corollary 2.8 and also Remark 2.9. This formula holds (a fortiori) whenever $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^2(S)$.

In Section 2.1 we discuss the basic calculations needed to prove the 1st variation formula for the H-perimeter σ_H^{n-1}.

Section 3 contains some other tools: adapted frames, connection 1-forms and lemmata concerning the horizontal 2nd fundamental form B_H. This material is then used in Section 4 to discuss and prove the variational formulae for σ_H^{n-1}. The presentation here is slightly different from [56]. In fact, we have tried to simplify the original proofs. More importantly, we have corrected a mistake that has caused the loss of some divergence-type terms in the variational formulae proved there; see Remark 2.14. Furthermore, we have extended the formulae to the characteristic case.

We say that a hypersurface S of class $\mathbf{C}^2$ is *H-minimal* if its horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_H$ is zero at each non-characteristic $p \in S \setminus C_S$. Moreover, it turns out that the "infinitesimal"1st variation of σ_H^{n-1} is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_W\sigma_H^{n-1} = \left(-\mathcal{H}_H\langle W,\nu\rangle + div_{TS}\left(W^\top|\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu| - \langle W,\nu\rangle\nu_H^\top\right)\right)\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1},$$

where $\mathcal{L}_W\sigma_H^{n-1}$ is the Lie derivative of σ_H^{n-1} with respect to the initial velocity W of the variation and the symbols $W^\perp$, $W^\top$ denote the normal and tangential components of W, respectively. If $\mathcal{H}_H$ is $L^1(S;\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})$, the function $\mathcal{L}_W\sigma_H^{n-1}$ is integrable on S and the integral of $\mathcal{L}_W\sigma_H^{n-1}$ on S gives the 1st variation of σ_H^{n-1}. Note that the third term in the previous formula depends on the normal component of W. We stress that this term was omitted in [56]. Using a generalized divergence-type formula, the divergence term can be integrated on the boundary and, if one use compactly supported variations, it follows that H-minimal hypersurfaces are "critical points"of the H-perimeter functional.

The formula for 2nd variation of σ_H^{n-1}, which is one of the main results of this paper, will be obtained as a result of a long calculation; see Theorem 4.12. This formula will be proved under some further assumptions concerning integrability of some geometric quantities. For a precise statement, we refer the reader to Section 4. In the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$, the 1st variation formula for characteristic surfaces of class $\mathbf{C}^2$ was first obtained by Ritoré and Rosales in [67]. We also stress that Hurtado, Ritoré and Rosales [44] have proved a formula for the 2nd variation which is analogous to that stated in Theorem 4.12. We also quote [43], for similar results in a general sub-Riemannian setting.

Using compactly supported variations together with suitable integrability conditions on the function $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu|}$, the 2nd variation formula takes the following simple form

$$II_S(W,\sigma_H^{n-1}) = \int_S \left(|grad_{HS}\,w|^2 - w^2\mathcal{B}_{TS}\right)\sigma_H^{n-1},$$

where W is the variation vector and $w = \frac{\langle W,\nu\rangle}{|\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu|}$. Here, we have set

$$\mathcal{B}_{TS} := \underbrace{\|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + \|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}}_{=\|B_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}} + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left\langle\left[2grad_{HS}(\varpi_\alpha) - C(\varpi)(X_\alpha - \varpi_\alpha\nu_H)\right], C^\alpha\nu_H\right\rangle;$$

see Corollary 4.13, Definition 1.7 and Definition 1.9 in Section 1.2. Note that the above expression involves many geometric quantities such as the horizontal 2nd fundamental form B_H (or, its symmetric and skew-symmetric parts S_H and A_H), the vertical vector field ϖ, defined as $\varpi := \frac{\mathcal{P}_V\,\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu|} = \sum_{\alpha=h+1}^n \varpi_\alpha X_\alpha$, where $\varpi_\alpha := \frac{\nu_\alpha}{|\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu|}$, and the matrices of the structural constants C^α and $C(\varpi) = \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\varpi_\alpha C^\alpha$.

In Section 5 we state some further identities for constant horizontal mean curvature hypersurfaces. In particular, we find a family of explicit solutions to the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varphi + \varphi\,\mathcal{B}_{TS} = 0.$$

This is a key-point of this paper and, using this fact, the main stability inequality follows by adapting a standard argument in the Riemannian setting; see, e.g. [31]. In Section 6 we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a H-minimal hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^3$. If there exists $\alpha \in I_V = \{h+1,...,n\}$ such that either $\varpi_\alpha > 0$ or $\varpi_\alpha < 0$ on S, then each non-characteristic domain $\Omega \subset S$ is stable.*

An immediate application of the previous result is contained in the next:

Corollary 1.2. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a complete H-minimal hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^3$. If S is a graph with respect to some given vertical direction, then each non-characteristic domain $\Omega \subset S$ is stable.*

Finally, , in order to illustrate our results, an analysis of some (more or less simple) examples is given in Section 6.1; see, more precisely, Corollary 6.8, Corollary 6.9, and Corollary 6.12.

1.1. **Carnot groups.** A *k-step Carnot group* $(\mathbb{G}, \bullet)$ is a connected, simply connected, nilpotent and stratified Lie group (with respect to a group law $\bullet$) so that its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ is a direct sum of slices $\mathfrak{g} = H_1 \oplus ... \oplus H_k$ such that $[H_1, H_{i-1}] = H_i \quad (i = 2,...,k)$, $H_{k+1} = \{0\}$. Let 0 be the identity of $\mathbb{G}$ and set $h_i := \dim H_i$ for $i = 1,...,k$ and $h_1 := h$. Moreover set $H := H_1$ and $V := H_2 \oplus ... \oplus H_k$. Note that H and V are smooth subbundles of $T\mathbb{G}$ called *horizontal* and *vertical*, respectively. The horizontal space H is generated by a frame $\underline{X_H} := \{X_1,...,X_h\}$ of left-invariant vector fields, which can be completed to a global graded, left-invariant frame $\underline{X} := \{X_1,...,X_n\}$ for $\mathfrak{g}$. We stress that the standard basis $\{\mathrm{e}_i : i = 1,...,n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n \cong T_0\mathbb{G}$ can be relabeled to be *graded* or *adapted to the stratification*. Note that any left-invariant vector field of $\underline{X}$ satisfies $X_i(x) = L_{x*}\mathrm{e}_i\,(i = 1,...,n)$, where L_{x*} denotes the differential of the left-translation at $x \in \mathbb{G}$. We fix a Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n \cong T_0\mathbb{G}$ which makes $\{\mathrm{e}_i : i = 1,...,n\}$ an o.n. basis; this metric extends to each tangent space by left-translations and makes $\underline{X}$ an o.n. left-invariant frame for $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote by $g = \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ this metric and assume that $(\mathbb{G}, g)$ is a Riemannian manifold.

We use the so-called *exponential coordinates of 1st kind* so that $\mathbb{G}$ is identified with its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, via the (Lie group) exponential map $exp : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$.

A *sub-Riemannian metric* g_H is a symmetric positive bilinear form on the horizontal space H. The *CC-distance* $d_H(x,y)$ between $x, y \in \mathbb{G}$ is given by

$$d_H(x,y) := \inf \int \sqrt{g_H(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma)}\,dt,$$

where the infimum is taken over all piecewise-smooth horizontal paths γ joining x to y. From now on, we shall choose $g_H := g_{|H}$.

We recall that Carnot groups are *homogeneous groups*, i.e. they admit a one-parameter group of automorphisms $\delta_t : \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ for any $t \geq 0$. By definition, one has $\delta_t x := exp\left(\sum_{j,i_j} t^j\, x_{i_j}\mathrm{e}_{i_j}\right)$, for every $x = exp\left(\sum_{j,i_j} x_{i_j}\mathrm{e}_{i_j}\right) \in \mathbb{G}$. The *homogeneous dimension* of $\mathbb{G}$ is the integer $Q := \sum_{i=1}^{k} i\,h_i$ coinciding with the *Hausdorff dimension* of $(\mathbb{G}, d_H)$ as a metric space; see [40], [58].

The *structural constants* of $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with $\underline{X}$ are defined by $C^{\mathfrak{g}}{}^r_{ij} := \left\langle [X_i, X_j], X_r \right\rangle$, $i, j, r = 1,...,n$. They are skew-symmetric and satisfy Jacobi's identity. The stratification hypothesis on $\mathfrak{g}$ can be restated as follows:

$$X_i \in H_l,\; X_j \in H_m \Longrightarrow [X_i, X_j] \in H_{l+m} \tag{1}$$

and so if $i \in I_{H_s}$ and $j \in I_{H_r}$, then

$$C^{\mathfrak{g}}{}^m_{ij} \neq 0 \Longrightarrow m \in I_{H_{s+r}}. \tag{2}$$

We set

- $C^\alpha_H := [C^{\mathfrak{g}}{}^\alpha_{ij}]_{i,j=1,...,h} \in \mathcal{M}_{h\times h}(\mathbb{R}) \qquad \forall\ \alpha = h+1,...,h+h_2;$
- $C^\alpha := [C^{\mathfrak{g}}{}^\alpha_{ij}]_{i,j=1,...,n} \in \mathcal{M}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{R}) \qquad \forall\ \alpha = h+1,...,n.$

Now we introduce[4] the left-invariant co-frame $\underline{\omega} := \{\omega_i : i = 1, ..., n\}$ dual to $\underline{X}$, i.e. $\omega_i = X_i^* \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{G})$ for every $i = 1, ..., n$. In particular, note that the *left-invariant 1-forms* ω_i are uniquely determined by

$$\omega_i(X_j) = \left\langle X_i, X_j \right\rangle = \delta_i^j \qquad \forall\ i, j = 1, ..., n,$$

where δ_i^j denotes the Kronecker delta.

Let ∇ denote the (unique) left-invariant Levi-Civita connection on $\mathbb{G}$ associated with the left-invariant metric $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. It turns out that

$$\nabla_{X_i} X_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{n} ({C^{\mathfrak{g}}}^r_{ij} - {C^{\mathfrak{g}}}^i_{jr} + {C^{\mathfrak{g}}}^j_{ri}) X_r \qquad \forall\ i, j = 1, ..., n.$$

If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(H) := \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{G}, H)$, we set $\nabla^H_X Y := \mathcal{P}_H(\nabla_X Y)$. The operation ∇^H is a partial connection called *H-connection*. We stress that ∇^H is *flat, compatible with the metric g_H* and *torsion-free* (i.e. $\nabla^H_X Y - \nabla^H_Y X - \mathcal{P}_H[X, Y] = 0\ \forall\ X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$); see [56] and references therein.

Notation 1.3. *If $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(T\mathbb{G}) := \mathbf{C}^1(\mathbb{G}, T\mathbb{G})$, we denote by $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}} X$ the Jacobian matrix of X computed with respect to the left invariant frame $\underline{X} = \{X_1, ..., X_n\}$. Moreover, if $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(H) = \mathbf{C}^1(\mathbb{G}, H)$, we denote by $\mathcal{J}_H X$ the horizontal Jacobian matrix of X computed with respect to the horizontal left invariant frame $\underline{X_H} = \{X_1, ..., X_h\}$; see* [56].

Remark 1.4 (Horizontal curvature tensor R_H). *The flatness of ∇^H implies that horizontal curvature tensor R_H is identically zero, where we recall that $\mathrm{R}_H(X, Y)Z := \nabla^H_Y \nabla^H_X Z - \nabla^H_X \nabla^H_Y Z - \nabla^H_{[Y,X]_H} Z$ for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$.*

Horizontal gradient and horizontal divergence operators are denoted by grad_H and div_H.

A continuous distance $\varrho : \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ is called *homogeneous* if one has

$$\varrho(x, y) = \varrho(z \bullet x, z \bullet y) \quad \forall\, x,\, y,\, z \in \mathbb{G}; \qquad \varrho(\delta_t x, \delta_t y) = t\varrho(x, y) \quad \forall t > 0.$$

We recall a fundamental example.

Example 1.5 (Heisenberg groups $\mathbb{H}^n$). *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ of the n-th Heisenberg group can be defined by using a left-invariant frame $\{X_1, Y_1, ..., X_i, Y_i, ..., X_n, Y_n, T\}$, where $X_i(p) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{y_i}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $Y_i(p) := \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + \frac{x_i}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ for every $i = 1, ..., n$, and $T(p) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Here $p = \exp(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, ..., x_n, y_n, t)$ denotes the generic point in $\mathbb{H}^n$. One has $[X_i, Y_i] = T$ for every $i = 1, ..., n$, and all other commutators vanish. Hence, by definition, T is the center of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ and $\mathfrak{h}_n$ turns out to be nilpotent and stratified of step 2, i.e. $\mathfrak{h}_n = H \oplus H_2$. The structural constants of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ are described by the skew-symmetric $(2n \times 2n)$-matrix*

$$C_H^{2n+1} := \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 1 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right|$$

associated with the skew-symmetric bilinear map $\Gamma_H : H \times H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\Gamma_H(X, Y) = \langle [X, Y], T \rangle$ for every $X, Y \in H$.

1.2. **Hypersurfaces and measures.** The Riemannian left-invariant volume form on $\mathbb{G}$ is defined as $\sigma^n_{\mathcal{R}} := \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \omega_i \in \Omega^n(\mathbb{G})$. The measure $\sigma^n_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the Haar measure of $\mathbb{G}$ and equals the push-forward of the usual n-dimensional Lebesgue measure $\mathcal{L}^n$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \cong T_0\mathbb{G}$.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^1$. We say that $x \in S$ is a *characteristic point* whenever $\dim H_x = \dim(H_x \cap T_x S)$. The *characteristic set* of S is defined as

$$C_S := \{x \in S : \dim H_x = \dim(H_x \cap T_x S)\}.$$

Note that $x \in S$ is non-characteristic if, and only if, H is transversal to S at x, i.e. $H_x \pitchfork T_x S$. We here observe that the $(Q-1)$-dimensional CC Hausdorff measure of the characteristic set C_S vanishes, i.e.

---

[4] **Notation.** Let M be a smooth manifold. We shall denote by $\Omega^k(M)$ the space of differential k-forms on M.

$\mathcal{H}_{CC}^{Q-1}(C_S)=0$; see [51]. In fact, under further regularity assumptions, it is possible to show much more than that. For instance, if S is of class $\mathbf{C}^2$, then the $(n-1)$-dimensional Riemmanian Hausdorff measure of C_S is zero; see [12].

Let ν denote the unit normal vector along S. The $(n-1)$-dimensional Riemannian measure is defined as $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1} := (\nu \lrcorner \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n})|_S$, where $\lrcorner$ denotes the "contraction" operator on differential forms; see Lee's book [48], pp. 334-346. We recall that $\lrcorner : \Omega^k(\mathbb{G}) \to \Omega^{k-1}(\mathbb{G})$ is defined, for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(T\mathbb{G})$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^k(\mathbb{G})$, by setting

$$(X \lrcorner \alpha)(Y_1, ..., Y_{k-1}) := \alpha(X, Y_1, ..., Y_{k-1}).$$

At each non-characteristic point of S the *unit H-normal* along S is the normalized projection of ν onto H, that is $\nu_{_H} := \frac{\mathcal{P}_H \nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|}$. The *H-perimeter form* is the $(n-1)$-differential form σ_{H}^{n-1} on $S \setminus C_S$ defined by

$$\sigma_{H}^{n-1} := (\nu_{_H} \lrcorner \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n})|_{S \setminus C_S}.$$

If $C_S \neq \emptyset$ we extend σ_{H}^{n-1} to the whole of S by setting $\sigma_{H}^{n-1} \llcorner C_S = 0$. Note that $\sigma_{H}^{n-1} = |\mathcal{P}_H \nu|\, \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}$. This follows from the well-known formula $(X \lrcorner \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n})|_S = \langle X, \nu \rangle\, \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}(T\mathbb{G})$. In particular, it turns out that $C_S = \{x \in S : |\mathcal{P}_H \nu(x)| = 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{CC}^{Q-1}$ be the $(Q-1)$-dimensional spherical Hausdorff measure associated with the CC-distance d_H. Then $\sigma_{H}^{n-1}(S \cap B) = k(\nu_{_H})\, \mathcal{S}_{CC}^{Q-1}(S \cap B)$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}or(\mathbb{G})$, where the density $k(\nu_{_H})$, called *metric factor,* depends on $\nu_{_H}$; see [50]. The *horizontal tangent bundle* $HS \subset TS$ and the *horizontal normal bundle* $\nu_{_H} S$ split the horizontal bundle H into an orthogonal direct sum, i.e. $H = \nu_{_H} \oplus HS$. We also recall that the stratification of $\mathfrak{g}$ induces a stratification of $TS := \oplus_{i=1}^{k} H_i S$, where $HS := H_1 S$; see [40].

Remark 1.6. *We have* $\dim H_p S = \dim H_p - 1 = h - 1$ *at each point* $p \in S \setminus C_S$*. Furthermore, note that the definition of* HS *makes sense even if* $p \in C_S$*, but in such a case* $\dim H_p S = \dim H_p = 2n$*.*

For the sake of simplicity, in the rest of this section we shall assume, unless otherwise mentioned, that $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ is a non-characteristic hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^2$. So let ∇^{TS} be the induced connection on S from ∇. The tangential connection ∇^{TS} induces a partial connection on HS defined by

$$\nabla_X^{HS} Y := \mathcal{P}_{HS}\left(\nabla_X^{TS} Y\right) \qquad \forall\ X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}^1(HS) := \mathbf{C}^1(S, HS).$$

It turns out that $\nabla_X^{HS} Y = \nabla_X^{H} Y - \left\langle \nabla_X^{H} Y, \nu_{_H} \right\rangle \nu_{_H}$. In the sequel, HS-gradient and HS-divergence will be denoted, respectively, by $grad_{HS}$ and div_{HS}. By definition, the horizontal 2nd fundamental form of S is the bilinear map given by

$$B_H(X, Y) := \left\langle \nabla_X^{H} Y, \nu_{_H} \right\rangle$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}^1(TS)$. The horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_H$ is the trace of B_H, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_H := \mathrm{Tr} B_H = -div_H \nu_{_H}$. The torsion T_{HS} of the HS-connection ∇^{HS} is given by $\mathrm{T}_{HS}(X, Y) := \nabla_X^{HS} Y - \nabla_Y^{HS} X - \mathcal{P}_H[X, Y]$ for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}^1(HS)$. There is a non-zero torsion because, in general, B_H is *not symmetric*. Hence B_H can be regarded as a sum of two matrices, i.e. $B_H = S_H + A_H$, where S_H is symmetric and A_H is skew-symmetric.

Definition 1.7. *The* principal horizontal curvatures κ_j *of* S*,* $j \in I_{HS}$*, are the eigenvalues of* S_H*, i.e. eigenvalues of the symmetric part of the horizontal 2nd fundamental form* B_H*. Note that* $\mathcal{H}_H = \sum_{j \in I_{HS}} \kappa_j$*. We also define some important geometric objects:*

- $\varpi_\alpha := \frac{\nu_\alpha}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|} \quad \forall\ \alpha = h+1, ..., n;$
- $\varpi_{H_2} := \frac{\mathcal{P}_{H_2} \nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|} = \sum_{\alpha \in I_{H_2}} \varpi_\alpha X_\alpha;$
- $\varpi := \frac{\mathcal{P}_V \nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|} = \sum_{\alpha \in I_V} \varpi_\alpha X_\alpha;$
- $C_H(\varpi_{H_2}) := \sum_{\alpha \in I_{H_2}} \varpi_\alpha\, C_H^{\alpha};$
- $C(\varpi) := \sum_{\alpha \in I_V} \varpi_\alpha\, C^{\alpha}.$

We further denote by $C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})$ *the restriction to the subspace* HS *of the linear operator* $C_H(\varpi_{H_2})$*.*

These objects play an important role in the horizontal geometry of immersed hypersurfaces. For instance, we have to remark that $A_H = \frac{1}{2} C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})$; see [56]. Moreover, for any $X,\ Y \in \mathfrak{X}^1(HS)$ we have $\mathrm{T}_{HS}(X, Y) = \langle [X, Y], \varpi\rangle \nu_{_H} = -\langle C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})X, Y\rangle$.

Example 1.8 (Heisenberg group)**.** *We have* $\varpi := \varpi_T = \frac{\langle \nu, T\rangle}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|}$ *and* $C_H(\varpi_{H_2}) = \varpi C_H^{2n+1}$*; see Example 1.5. An elementary computation shows that the skew-symmetric part* A_H *of the horizontal 2nd fundamental form* B_H *is given by* $A_H = \frac{\varpi}{2} C_{HS}^{2n+1}$*, where* $C_{HS}^{2n+1} = C_H^{2n+1}|_{HS}$*. Since* $\|C_{HS}^{2n+1}\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 = 2(n-1)$*, it follows that* $\|B_H\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 = \|S_H\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + \frac{n-1}{2}\varpi^2$.

Definition 1.9. *Let* $U \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ *be an open set and let* $\mathcal{U} := S \cap U$*. We call* adapted frame to $\mathcal{U}$ on U *any o.n. frame* $\underline{\tau} := \{\tau_1, ..., \tau_n\}$ *on* U *such that* $\tau_1(p) := \nu_{_H}(p)$*,* $H_p\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{span}\{\tau_2(p), ..., \tau_h(p)\}$ *for any* $p \in \mathcal{U}$*,* $\tau_\alpha := X_\alpha$*. Furthermore, we set* $\tau_\alpha^{TS} := \tau_\alpha - \varpi_\alpha \tau_1$ *for any* $\alpha \in I_V$*. We stress that* $HS^\perp = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\tau_\alpha^{TS} : \alpha \in I_V\}$*, where* $HS^\perp$ *denotes the orthogonal complement of* HS *in* TS*, i.e.* $TS = HS \oplus HS^\perp$*.*

Note that every adapted o.n. frame to a hypersurface is a graded frame. Clearly, we have that

$$\underline{\tau} = \{\underbrace{\tau_1}_{=\nu_H}, \underbrace{\tau_2, ..., \tau_h}_{\text{o.n. basis of } HS}, \underbrace{\tau_{h+1}, ..., \tau_n}_{\text{o.n. basis of } V}\}.$$

Notation 1.10. *Let* $n_i := \sum_{j=1}^{i} h_j$*. Hereafter, we shall set* $I_H = \{1, 2, ..., h\}$*,* $I_{H_i} = \{n_{i-1}+1, ..., n_i\}$*,* $I_V = \{h+1, ..., n\}$ *and* $I_{HS} := \{2, 3, ..., h\}$*.*

Let $\underline{\phi} := \{\phi_1, ..., \phi_n\}$ be the dual co-frame of $\underline{\tau}$, i.e. $\phi_i(\tau_j) = \delta_i^j$ for any $i, j = 1, ..., n$, where δ_i^j denotes the Kroneker delta. The co-frame $\underline{\phi}$ satisfies the Cartan's structural equations:

$$\text{(3)} \qquad \text{(I)} \quad d\phi_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_{ij} \wedge \phi_j, \qquad \text{(II)} \quad d\phi_{jk} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \phi_{jl} \wedge \phi_{lk} - \Phi_{jk}$$

for any $i, j, k = 1, ..., n$, where $\phi_{ij}(X) := \left\langle \nabla_X \tau_j, \tau_i \right\rangle$ denote the *connection 1-forms* of $\underline{\phi}$ and Φ_{jk} denote the *curvature 2-forms*, defined by $\Phi_{jk}(X, Y) := \phi_k(\mathrm{R}(X, Y)\tau_j)$ for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{G})$, where R is the *Riemannian curvature tensor*, that is

$$\mathrm{R}(X, Y)Z := \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_{[Y,X]} Z$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{G})$. The following holds

$$\text{(4)} \qquad C_{ij}^k := \left\langle [\tau_i, \tau_j], \tau_k \right\rangle = \phi_{jk}(\tau_i) - \phi_{ik}(\tau_j) \qquad \forall\ i, j, k = 1, ..., n.$$

This identity can be proved by using the fact that ∇ is torsion-free.

Definition 1.11. *A* vertical hyperplane $\mathcal{I}$ *is the zero-set of a linear homogeneous polynomial on* $\mathbb{G}$ *of homogeneous degree* 1*. A* non-vertical hyperplane $\mathcal{I}$ *is the zero-set of a linear polynomial on* $\mathbb{G}$ *of homogeneous degree greater than or equal to* 2*.*

Hyperplanes are $(n-1)$-dimensional vector subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}$. The importance of vertical hyperplanes comes from the intrinsic rectifiability theory developed by Franchi, Serapioni and Serra Cassano in 2-step Carnot groups; see [32, 33, 34, 35]. They turn out to be ideals of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and may be thought of as generalized tangent spaces to sets of finite H-perimeter (in the variational sense); see [6]. Non-vertical hyperplanes will be studied in Section 6.1.

2. Divergence formulae

Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^2$. For the sake of simplicity, we first assume that S is non-characteristic. Let $\mathbf{C}_{HS}^i(S)$, $(i = 1, 2)$ be the space of functions whose HS-derivatives up to the i-th order are continuous on S. Analogously, for any open subset $\mathcal{U} \subseteq S$, we set $\mathbf{C}_{HS}^i(\mathcal{U})$, to denote the space of functions whose HS-derivatives up to the i-th order are continuous on $\mathcal{U}$. The previous definitions extend to the case $C_S \neq \emptyset$ by requiring that all HS-derivatives up to the i-th order are continuous on C_S.

Remark 2.1. *The notions concerning the HS-connection ∇^{HS}, the horizontal 2nd fundamental form B_H and the torsion T_{HS}, can also be formulated by replacing $\mathfrak{X}^1(HS) = \mathbf{C}^1(S, HS)$ with the larger space $\mathfrak{X}^1_{HS}(HS) := \mathbf{C}^1_{HS}(S, HS)$.*

Definition 2.2 (HS-differential operators)**.** *Let $\mathcal{D}_{HS} : \mathfrak{X}^1_{HS}(HS) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}(S)$ be the 1st order differential operator given by*

$$\mathcal{D}_{HS}X := div_{HS}X + \langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_{_H}, X\rangle \qquad \forall\ X \in \mathfrak{X}^1_{HS}(HS).$$

Moreover, let $\mathcal{D}_{HS} : \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}(S)$ be the 2nd order differential operator defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varphi := \Delta_{HS}\,\varphi + \langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_{_H}, grad_{HS}\,\varphi\rangle \qquad \forall\ \varphi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S).$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}_{HS}(\varphi X) = \varphi\mathcal{D}_{HS}X + \langle grad_{HS}\,\varphi, X\rangle$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(HS)$ and every $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^1_{HS}(S)$. Moreover $\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varphi = \mathcal{D}_{HS}(grad_{HS}\,\varphi)$ for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S)$.

It is not difficult to see that the operators Δ_{HS} and $\mathcal{L}_{HS}$ naturally extend to horizontal vector fields. These extensions will be denoted by $\overrightarrow{\Delta_{HS}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{HS}}$. We remark that

$$\overrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{HS}}X = \overrightarrow{\Delta_{HS}}X + (\mathcal{J}_{HS}X)\,C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_{_H}$$

for every $X \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S \setminus C_S, HS)$, where $\mathcal{J}_{HS}X$ denotes the HS-Jacobian matrix of the horizontal tangent vector field X.

We now define a homogeneous measure $\sigma^{n-2}_{_H}$, which plays the role of the intrinsic Hausdorff measure on $(n-2)$-dimensional submanifolds of $\mathbb{G}$.

Remark 2.3 (The measure $\sigma^{n-2}_{_H}$)**.** *Let $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}(TS)$ be a unit normal vector orienting ∂S. Furthermore, let $\eta_{HS} := \frac{\mathcal{P}_{HS}\,\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS}\,\eta|}$ be the* unit HS-normal *of ∂S. By definition, we set $\sigma^{n-2}_{_H} := \left(\eta_{HS} \lrcorner\, \sigma^{n-1}_{_H}\right)\big|_{\partial S}$. Exactly as for the H-perimeter $\sigma^{n-1}_{_H}$, the measure $\sigma^{n-2}_{_H}$, which is $(Q-2)$-homogeneous with respect to Carnot dilations, can be represented in terms of the Riemannian measure $\sigma^{n-2}_{_\mathcal{R}}$. In fact, we have $\sigma^{n-2}_{_H} = |\mathcal{P}_H\nu|\,|\mathcal{P}_{HS}\,\eta|\,\sigma^{n-2}_{_\mathcal{R}} \llcorner \partial S$.*

The above definitions are somehow motivated by Theorem 3.17 in [56].

Theorem 2.4. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a compact non-characteristic hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^2$ with (piecewise) $\mathbf{C}^1$ boundary ∂S and $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(TS)$. Then*

$$\int_S \mathcal{D}_{HS}X\,\sigma^{n-1}_{_H} = \int_{\partial S}\langle X, \eta_{HS}\rangle\ \sigma^{n-2}_{_H}.$$

As a consequence, the following integral formula holds

$$\int_S \mathcal{D}_{HS}X\,\sigma^{n-1}_{_H} = \int_S \left(div_{HS}X + \langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_{_H}, X\rangle\right)\ \sigma^{n-1}_{_H} = 0 \tag{5}$$

for every $X \in \mathbf{C}^1_0(S, HS)$.

Stokes' formula is concerned with integrating a k-form over a k-dimensional manifold with boundary. A common way to state this fundamental result is the following.

Proposition 2.5. *Let M be an oriented k-dimensional manifold of class $\mathbf{C}^2$ with boundary ∂M. Then $\int_M d\alpha = \int_{\partial M}\alpha$ for every compactly supported $(k-1)$-form α of class $\mathbf{C}^1$.*

One requires M to be of class $\mathbf{C}^2$ for a technical reason concerning "pull-back" of differential forms. We remark that it is possible to extend Proposition 2.5 to the following cases:

- ($\star$) *$\overline{M}$ is of class $\mathbf{C}^1$ and α is a $(k-1)$-form such that α and $d\alpha$ are continuous;*
- ($\spadesuit$) *$\overline{M}$ is of class $\mathbf{C}^1$ and α is a $(k-1)$-form such that $\alpha \in L^\infty(M)$, $d\alpha \in L^1(M)$ -or $d\alpha \in L^\infty(M)$- and $\iota^*_M\alpha \in L^\infty(\partial M)$, where $\iota_M : \partial M \longrightarrow \overline{M}$ is the natural inclusion.*

Many different versions of Stokes' theorem are available in literature; see, for instance, [29]. For an introduction, we refer the reader to the book by Taylor [75]; see Appendix G.

Remark 2.6. *General versions of Stokes' theorem can be deduced from the generalized Gauss-Green formula proved by De Giorgi and Federer; see* [27] *or* [29], *Theorem 4.5.6, p. 478. However, it is worth observing that they hold for Lipschitz differential forms. A general result of this type can be found in Maz'ja'* [53], *see Section 6.2. We observe that* ($\star$) *holds for any compact oriented k-dimensional manifold M with boundary and for differential forms that are Lipschitz at each point of $M \setminus T$, where T is a thin subset of M; see* [65], *Remark 5.3.2, p. 197. On the other hand* ($\spadesuit$) *is perhaps less known that* ($\star$). *The validity of* ($\spadesuit$) *is observed in* [75]*; see formula (G.38), Appendix G. This result can be deduced by applying a standard procedure*[5] *from a divergence-type theorem proved by Anzellotti; see, more precisely, Theorem 1.9 in* [7]. *More recent and more general results can also be found in the paper by Chen, Torres and Ziemer* [20].

We have here to remark that either condition ($\star$) or ($\spadesuit$) can be used to extend the horizontal integration by parts formulae to vector fields (and functions) possibly singular at the characteristic set C_S.

Definition 2.7. *Let $X \in \mathbf{C}^1(S \setminus C_S, HS)$ and set $\alpha_X := (X \lrcorner \sigma_H^{n-1})|_S$. We say that X is* admissible (for the horizontal divergence formula) *if the differential forms α_X and $d\alpha_X$ satisfy either condition* ($\star$) *or* ($\spadesuit$) *on S. We say that $\phi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S \setminus C_S)$ is* admissible *if $grad_{HS}\,\phi$ is admissible for the horizontal divergence formula. More generally, let $X \in \mathbf{C}^1(S \setminus C_S, TS)$ and set $\alpha_X := (X \lrcorner \sigma_H^{n-1})|_S$. Then, we say that X is* admissible (for the Riemannian divergence formula) *whenever α_X and $d\alpha_X$ satisfy either condition* ($\star$) *or* ($\spadesuit$) *on S.*

Using Definition 2.7 and Theorem 2.4 yields the following:

Corollary 2.8. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a compact hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^2$ with $\mathbf{C}^1$ boundary ∂S. We have:*

(i) $\int_S \mathcal{D}_{HS} X\, \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_{\partial S} \langle X, \eta_{HS} \rangle\, \sigma_H^{n-2}$ *for every admissible* $X \in \mathbf{C}^1(S \setminus C_S, HS)$*;*

(ii) $\int_S \mathcal{L}_{HS}\, \phi\, \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_{\partial S} \langle grad_{HS}\, \phi, \eta_{HS} \rangle\, \sigma_H^{n-2}$ *for every admissible* $\phi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S \setminus C_S)$*;*

(iii) *if* $\partial S = \emptyset$*, then*

$$-\int_S \varphi \mathcal{L}_{HS}\, \varphi\, \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_S |grad_{HS}\, \varphi|^2\, \sigma_H^{n-1} \tag{6}$$

for every function $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S \setminus C_S)$ such that φ^2 is admissible.

Note that formula 6 holds even if $\partial S \neq \emptyset$, but in this case we have to use compactly supported functions on S.

Remark 2.9. *Let $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S \setminus C_S)$. If φ^2 is admissible, then*

$$\varphi \in W^{1,2}_{HS}(S, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(S, \sigma_H^{n-1}) : |grad_{HS}\, \varphi| \in L^2(S, \sigma_H^{n-1}) \right\}.$$

Example 2.10 (Heisenberg group; see Example 1.8). *One has $\mathcal{D}_{HS}(X) := div_{HS}\, X + \varpi \left\langle C_H^{2n+1} \nu_{_H}, X \right\rangle$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(HS)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{HS}\, \varphi := \mathcal{D}_{HS}(grad_{HS}\, \varphi) = \Delta_{HS}\, \varphi + \varpi \langle C_H^{2n+1} \nu_{_H}, grad_{HS}\, \varphi \rangle$ for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S)$.*

The following result will be used throughout the proof of Corollary 4.13.

Proposition 2.11. *Let M be a compact oriented Lipschitz k-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Then $\int_M div_{TM} X\, \sigma^k_{\mathcal{R}} = 0$ for every $X \in W^{1,2}_{comp}(M, TM)$, where $\sigma^k_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the Riemannian volume and $W^{1,2}_{comp}(M)$ denotes the Sobolev space of all square-integrable compactly supported vector fields on M with square-integrable first (covariant) derivative.*

This propoposition can be found in [69]; see Proposition 3.1, p. 7; see also [68], Section 4.3, p. 33.

---

[5] See, for instance, Federer [29], paragraph 3.2.46, p. 280; see also [65], Remark 5.3.2, p. 197.

2.1. **Preliminary remarks concerning the 1st variation formula.**

Notation 2.12. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^i$, $i \geq 2$. Let $X \in T\mathbb{G}$ and let ν be the outward-pointing unit normal vector along S. We shall denote by $X^\perp$ and $X^\top$ the standard decomposition of X into its normal and tangential components, i.e. $X^\perp = \langle X, \nu\rangle\nu$, $X^\top = X - X^\perp$.*

We now make a simple (but fundamental) calculation.

Lemma 2.13. *If $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(T\mathbb{G})$, then $(X \,\lrcorner\, \sigma_H^{n-1})|_S = \left(\left(X^\top |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| - \langle X, \nu\rangle \nu_H^\top\right) \lrcorner\, \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}\right) \llcorner S$. Moreover, at each non-characteristic point of S, we have*

$$d(X \,\lrcorner\, \sigma_H^{n-1})|_S = div_{TS}\left(X^\top |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| - \langle X, \nu\rangle \nu_H^\top\right) \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1} \llcorner S.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(X \,\lrcorner\, \sigma_H^{n-1})|_S &= (X \,\lrcorner\, \nu_H \,\lrcorner\, \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^n)|_S \\ &= d\left(\left(X^\top + X^\perp\right) \lrcorner \left(\nu_H^\top + \nu_H^\perp\right) \lrcorner\, \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^n\right)\Big|_S \\ &= d\left(X^\top \,\lrcorner\, \nu_H^\perp \,\lrcorner\, \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^n\right)\Big|_S + d\left(\nu_H^\top \,\lrcorner\, X^\perp \,\lrcorner\, \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^n\right)\Big|_S \\ &= d\left(X^\top \,\lrcorner\, \sigma_H^{n-1}\right)\Big|_S + d\left(\nu_H^\top \,\lrcorner \langle X, \nu\rangle \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}\right)\Big|_S \\ &= div_{TS}\left(X^\top |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| - \langle X, \nu\rangle \nu_H^\top\right) \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1} \llcorner S. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.14. *The previous calculation corrects a mistake in* [56], *where the normal component of the vector field X was omitted. This has caused the loss of some divergence-type terms in some of the variational formulae proved there.*

We would like to stress that the importance of the previous calculation in the development of this paper comes from the well-known Cartan's identity for the Lie derivative of a differential form; see [15], [48]. More precisely, let M be a smooth manifold, let $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$ be a differential k-form on M and let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$ be a differentiable vector field on M, with associated flow $\phi_t : M \longrightarrow M$. We recall that the Lie derivative of ω with respect to X, is defined by $\mathcal{L}_X\omega := \frac{d}{dt}\phi_t^*\omega\big|_{t=0}$, where $\phi_t^*\omega$ denotes the pull-back of ω by ϕ_t. Then, Cartan's identity says that

$$\mathcal{L}_X\omega = (X \,\lrcorner\, d\omega) + d(X \,\lrcorner\, \omega). \tag{7}$$

This is a very useful tool in proving variational formulae, not only for the case of Riemannian volume forms, for which we refer the reader to Spivak's book [72] (see Ch. 9, pp. 411-426 and 513-535), but even for more general functionals; see, for instance, [41], [39]. In Section 4, we shall apply this method to write down the 1st and 2nd variation formulae for the H-perimeter measure σ_H^{n-1}. But let us say something more about the 1st variation formula. So let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^2$. We remark that the Lie derivative of σ_H^{n-1} with respect to X can be calculated elementarily as follows. We begin with the first term in formula (7). We have

$$X \,\lrcorner\, d\sigma_H^{n-1} = X \,\lrcorner\, d(\nu_H \,\lrcorner\, \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^n) = X \,\lrcorner \left(div\,\nu_H \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^n\right) = \langle X, \nu\rangle\, div\,\nu_H\, \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}.$$

Note that $div\,\nu_H = div_H \nu_H = -\mathcal{H}_H$. More precisely

$$div\,\nu_H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \nabla_{X_i}\nu_H, X_i\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{h} X_i(\nu_{H\,i}) = div_H \nu_H = -\mathcal{H}_H.$$

The second term in formula (7) has been already computed in Lemma 2.13. Thus, we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{L}_X\sigma_H^{n-1} = \left(-\mathcal{H}_H\langle X, \nu\rangle + div_{TS}\left(X^\top |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| - \langle X, \nu\rangle \nu_H^\top\right)\right) \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}, \tag{8}$$

at each non-characteristic point of S. We will return on this point in Section 4.

Remark 2.15. *Roughly speaking, formula* (8) *gives the "infinitesimal"1st variation of the measure* σ_H^{n-1}*. However, in order to integrate the function* $\mathcal{L}_X\sigma_H^{n-1}$ *over a* $\mathbf{C}^2$ *hypersurface* S*, we have to require that* $\mathcal{H}_H$ *is locally integrable on* S*, with respect to the Riemannian measure* $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}$*, i.e.*

$$\mathcal{H}_H \in L^1_{loc}(S\,;\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}). \tag{9}$$

Indeed, in general, $\mathcal{H}_H$ *fails to be integrable locally around the characteristic* C_S*; see, for instance,* [26]*. Note that* (9) *implies the integrability of the function* $\mathcal{L}_X\sigma_H^{n-1}$*. Clearly, if* $C_S = \emptyset$*, then* (9) *is automatically satisfied because, if* S *is of class* $\mathbf{C}^2$*, then* $\mathcal{H}_H \in \mathbf{C}(S)$*.*

Remark 2.16 (Riemannian case)**.** *We would like to stress the analogy with the 1st variation of* $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}$ *for a hypersurface* S *of class* $\mathbf{C}^i$*,* $i \geq 1$*, immersed in the Euclidean space* $\mathbb{R}^n$*. It is well-known that the 1st variation formula is given by* $I_S(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}) = \int_S div_{TS}\, W\,\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}$*; see Simon's book* [70]*, Ch. 2, § 9, pp. 48-53. In the* $\mathbf{C}^1$*-case, the variation vector* W *cannot be decomposed in its normal and tangential parts. Clearly, this can be done if* S *is of class* $\mathbf{C}^2$*. In this case*

$$I_S(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}) = \int_S div_{TS}\, W\,\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1} = \int_S \left(\langle W^{\perp}, \nu\rangle\, div_{TS}\,\nu + div_{TS}\, W^{\top}\right)\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}.$$

Note that $-\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}} = div_{TS}\,\nu$*. Hence, we have two contributions. The first is given by* $-\int_S \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}\langle W^{\perp}, \nu\rangle\,\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}$ *and only depends on the normal component of the variation vector* W*. By means of the divergence theorem, the second term can be transformed in a boundary integral*[6] *given by* $\int_{\partial S}\langle W^{\top}, \eta\rangle\,\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-2}$ *which really depends only on the tangential component of* W*.*

Remark 2.17 (Horizontal variations)**.** *Let* $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ *be a compact hypersurface of class* $\mathbf{C}^2$ *and* ν *the outward-pointing unit normal vector along* S*. We observe that formula* (5) *generalizes to the following:*

$$\int_S \mathcal{D}_{HS}\, X\,\sigma_H^{n-1} = -\int_S \mathcal{H}_H\langle X, \nu_{_H}\rangle\,\sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S}\langle X, \eta_{HS}\rangle\,\sigma_H^{n-2} \qquad \forall\; X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(H); \tag{10}$$

see, for instance, Corollary 3.19 and Theorem 4.3 in [56]*. Note that* (10) *holds if either* $C_S = \emptyset$ *or, if* $C_S \neq \emptyset$*, whenever* $X \in \mathbf{C}^1(S \setminus C_S, H)$ *is an admissible vector field.*

Formula (10) can be seen as a particular case of the 1st variation formula of the H-perimeter; see formula (24) in Theorem 4.6 below. Actually, note that if $X = X_H \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} X_H^{\top}|\mathcal{P}_H\nu| - \langle X_H^{\perp}, \nu\rangle\nu_{_H}^{\top} &= (X_H - |\mathcal{P}_H\nu|\langle X_H, \nu_{_H}\rangle\nu)\,|\mathcal{P}_H\nu| - |\mathcal{P}_H\nu|\langle X_H, \nu\rangle\,(\nu_{_H} - |\mathcal{P}_H\nu|\nu)\\ &= (X_H - \langle X_H, \nu\rangle\nu_{_H})\,|\mathcal{P}_H\nu| \quad \left(=: X_{HS}\,|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\nu = |\mathcal{P}_H\nu|\nu_{_H} + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\nu_\alpha X_\alpha$ at each non-characteristic point. Now inserting this into (8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{X_H}\sigma_H^{n-1} &= \left(-\mathcal{H}_H\langle X_H, \nu\rangle + div_{TS}\,(X_{HS}\,|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|)\right)\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}\\ &= -\mathcal{H}_H\langle X_H, \nu_{_H}\rangle\,\sigma_H^{n-1} + div_{TS}\,(X_{HS}\,|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, integrating this expression along S and using Corollary 2.8, the claim follows.

3. SOME TECHNICAL PRELIMINARIES ABOUT THE CONNECTION 1-FORMS

Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^2$ and let $U \subset \mathbb{G}$ be an open set having non-empty intersection with S and such that $\mathcal{U} := U \cap S$ is non-characteristic. We start with an elementary calculation.

Lemma 3.1. *We have* $div_{TS}\,\nu_{_H} = -\mathcal{H}_H - \langle C(\mathcal{P}_V)\nu_{_H}, \mathcal{P}_V\nu\rangle$*, where* $C(\mathcal{P}_V) := \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\nu_\alpha C^\alpha$*.*

---

[6] In this case, we further assume that ∂S is a $(n-2)$-dimensional submanifold of class $\mathbf{C}^1$ oriented by the outward unit normal vector η.

Proof. We have $div_{TS}\,\nu_{_H} = div\,\nu_{_H} - \langle\nabla_\nu \nu_{_H}, \nu\rangle$. Since $div\,\nu_{_H} = -\mathcal{H}_H$, the thesis follows from

$$\langle\nabla_\nu \nu_{_H}, \nu\rangle = \sum_{j\in I_H}\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in I_V} \nu_{H\,j}\nu_\alpha\nu_\beta\langle\nabla_{X_\alpha}X_j, X_\beta\rangle = \sum_{j\in I_H}\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in I_V} \nu_{H\,j}\nu_\alpha\nu_\beta\frac{\left(C^{\mathfrak{g}\beta}_{\ \ \alpha j} + C^{\mathfrak{g}\alpha}_{\ \ \beta j}\right)}{2} = \left\langle C(\mathcal{P}_V\,\nu)\nu_{_H}, \mathcal{P}_V\,\nu\right\rangle.$$

□

Remark 3.2. *We have*

$$-\mathcal{H}_H = div_H\,\nu_{_H} = div_H\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu|}\right) = \frac{div_H(\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu) - \langle grad_{_H}|\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu|, \nu_{_H}\rangle}{|\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu|}. \tag{11}$$

Since $|\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu|$ is Lipschitz continuous, it follows that $\mathcal{H}_H \in L^1_{loc}(S\,; \sigma^{n-1}_H)$, but not necessarily $L^1_{loc}(S\,; \sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})$. Note also that the last condition follows by assuming $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu|} \in L^1_{loc}(S\,; \sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})$.

Lemma 3.3. *The following identities hold:*

(i) $\phi_{1i}(\tau_j) = \phi_{1j}(\tau_i) + \left\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_i, \tau_j\right\rangle \quad \forall\ i, j \in I_{HS}\,;$
(ii) $\phi_{1i}(\tau^{TS}_\alpha) = \tau_i(\varpi_\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}\left\langle C^\alpha_H\tau_1, \tau_i\right\rangle - \langle C(\varpi)\tau^{TS}_\alpha, \tau_i\rangle \quad \forall\ i \in I_H\ \forall\ \alpha \in I_V\,;$
(iii) $\phi_{i\alpha}(\tau_j) = \phi_{j\alpha}(\tau_i) + \left\langle C^\alpha_H\tau_i, \tau_j\right\rangle \quad \forall\ i, j \in I_H\ \forall\ \alpha \in I_V\,;$
(iv) $\tau^{TS}_\alpha(\varpi_\beta) - \tau^{TS}_\beta(\varpi_\alpha) = \left\langle C(\varpi)\tau^{TS}_\beta, \tau^{TS}_\alpha\right\rangle \quad \forall\ \alpha,\beta \in I_V\,;$
(v) $\phi_{i\alpha}(\tau_\alpha) = 0 \quad \forall\ i \in I_H\ \forall\ \alpha \in I_V\,;$
(vi) $\phi_{\alpha i}(\tau_i) = 0 \quad \forall\ i \in I_H\ \forall\ \alpha \in I_V\,;$
(vii) $\phi_{i\alpha}(\tau_j) = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle C^\alpha_H\tau_i, \tau_j\right\rangle \quad \forall\ i, j \in I_H\ \forall\ \alpha \in I_V\,.$

Proof. By direct computation. In particular, using the fact that the Lie brackets of tangent vector fields along S is still tangent; for a detailed proof, see [56]. □

Lemma 3.4. *The matrix of the linear operator B_H can be written out as a sum of two matrices, one symmetric and the other skew-symmetric, i.e. $B_H = S_H + A_H$, where the skew-symmetric matrix A_H is given by $A_H = \frac{1}{2}\,C_H(\varpi_{H_2})|_{HS}$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to apply (i) of Lemma 3.3. □

Lemma 3.5. *One has* $\mathrm{Tr}\left(B^2_H\right) = \|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} - \|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} = \sum_{j,k\in I_{HS}}\phi_{1k}(\tau_j)\phi_{1j}(\tau_k)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j,k\in I_{HS}}\phi_{1k}(\tau_j)\phi_{1j}(\tau_k) &= \sum_{j,k\in I_{HS}}\left\langle\nabla_{\tau_j}\tau_1, \tau_k\right\rangle\left\langle\nabla_{\tau_k}\tau_1, \tau_j\right\rangle\\
&= \sum_{j,k\in I_{HS}}(B_H)_{kj}(B_H)_{jk}\\
&= \mathrm{Tr}\left(B^2_H\right)\\
&= \sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\left\langle B_H\tau_j, B^{\mathrm{Tr}}_H\tau_j\right\rangle\\
&= \sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\left\langle(S_H + A_H)\,\tau_j, (S_H - A_H)\,\tau_j\right\rangle\\
&= \|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} - \|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.6. *We have* $\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\varpi_\alpha\mathcal{D}_{HS}\left(C^\alpha_H\tau_1\right) = 2\|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + |C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1|^2$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{HS}\,(C_H^\alpha\tau_1) &= \sum_{j\in I_{HS}} \left\langle \nabla_{\tau_j} C_H^\alpha\tau_1, \tau_j\right\rangle + \langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1\rangle\\
&= -\sum_{j\in I_{HS}} \left\langle \nabla_{\tau_j}\tau_1, C_H^\alpha\tau_j\right\rangle + \langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1\rangle \qquad \text{(by linearity and skew-symmetry)}\\
&= -\sum_{j\in I_{HS}} \left\langle \nabla_{\tau_j}\tau_1, C_{HS}^\alpha\,\tau_j\right\rangle + \langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1\rangle\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_{HS}^\alpha := C_H^\alpha|_{HS}$. Since $\left\langle \nabla_{\tau_j}\tau_1, C_{HS}^\alpha\,\tau_j\right\rangle = -B_H(\tau_j, C_{HS}^\alpha\,\tau_j)\ \forall\ j\in I_{HS}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\varpi_\alpha\mathcal{D}_{HS}\,(C_H^\alpha\tau_1) &= \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\varpi_\alpha\sum_{j\in I_{HS}} B_H(\tau_j, C_{HS}^\alpha\,\tau_j) + |C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1|^2\\
&= \varpi_\alpha\sum_{j\in I_{HS}} B_H(\tau_j, C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_j) + |C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2}) = C_H(\varpi_{H_2})|_{HS} = 2A_H$; see Lemma 3.4. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\varpi_\alpha\mathcal{D}_{HS}\,(C_H^\alpha\tau_1) &= 2\sum_{j\in I_{HS}} B_H(\tau_j, A_H\tau_j) + |C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1|^2\\
&= 2\sum_{j\in I_{HS}} \left\langle (S_H + A_H)\tau_j, A_H\tau_j\right\rangle + |C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1|^2\\
&= 2\|A_H\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + |C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the elementary identity $\sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\left\langle S_H\tau_j, A_H\tau_j\right\rangle = 0$. Let us prove the last identity. For every $j\in I_{HS}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle S_H\tau_j, A_H\tau_j\right\rangle &= \frac{1}{4}\left\langle\left(B_H + B_H^{\mathrm{Tr}}\right)\tau_j, \left(B_H - B_H^{\mathrm{Tr}}\right)\tau_j\right\rangle\\
&= \frac{1}{4}\left(\left\langle B_H\tau_j, B_H\tau_j\right\rangle - \left\langle B_H^{\mathrm{Tr}}\tau_j, B_H^{\mathrm{Tr}}\tau_j\right\rangle\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By summing over $j\in I_{HS}$ we get that $\mathrm{Tr}\,(S_H(\,\cdot\,, A_H\,\cdot)) = \|B_H\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 - \|B_H^{\mathrm{Tr}}\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 = 0$. □

We now recall some identities involving the (Riemannian) curvature 2-forms Φ_{IJ} associated with the o.n. co-frame $\underline{\phi}$ (dual of $\underline{\tau}$) which can be found in [56]. In particular, we need to calculate $\sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\Phi_{1j}(X,\tau_j) = \sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\left\langle \mathrm{R}(X,\tau_j)\tau_1,\tau_j\right\rangle$ for any $X\in\nu_H S$, which is nothing but the Ricci curvature for the partial HS-connection ∇^{HS}.

Lemma 3.7. *We have:*

(i) $\left\langle \mathrm{R}(\tau_i,\tau_j)\tau_h,\tau_k\right\rangle = -\frac{3}{4}\sum_{\alpha\in I_{H_2}}\left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_i,\tau_j\right\rangle\left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_h,\tau_k\right\rangle \qquad \forall\ i\ j,h,k\in I_H\,;$

(ii) $\left\langle \mathrm{R}(\tau_\beta,\tau_i)\tau_j,\tau_k\right\rangle = -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\alpha\in I_{H_2}}\left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_j,\tau_k\right\rangle\left\langle C^\beta\tau_\alpha,\tau_i\right\rangle \qquad \forall\ i,j,k\in I_H,\ \beta\in I_{H_3}\,.$

Lemma 3.8. *For every $X = X_H + X_V\in\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{G})$ transversal to S, i.e. $X\pitchfork S$, we have the formula*

$$\sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\Phi_{1j}(X,\tau_j) = -\frac{3}{4}\sum_{\alpha\in I_{H_2}}\langle C_H^\alpha\nu_{_H}, C_H^\alpha X_H\rangle - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\alpha\in I_{H_2}}\sum_{\beta\in I_{H_3}} x_\beta\left\langle C_H^\alpha\nu_{_H}, C^\beta\tau_\alpha\right\rangle.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3.7. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\underline{\tau} = \{\tau_1,...,\tau_n\}$ be an adapted o.n. frame for $\mathcal{U}\subseteq S$ on U and fix $p_0\in\mathcal{U}$. Then, we can always choose $\underline{\tau}$ so that the connection 1-forms $\underline{\phi} = \{\phi_1,...,\phi_n\}$ satisfy $\phi_{ij}(p_0) = 0$ whenever $i,j\in I_{HS} = \{2,...,h\}$.*

Proof. The proof follows by using a Riemannian geodesic frame. So let $\underline{\xi} = \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_n\}$ be a o.n. frame on U adapted to $\mathcal{U} = U \cap S$ satisfying $\xi_1(p) = \nu(p)$ and such that $T_pS = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_2(p), ..., \xi_n(p)\}$ for every $p \in \mathcal{U}$. Let $\underline{\varepsilon} = \{\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n\}$ denote its dual co-frame.

Claim 3.10. *It is always possible to choose another o.n. frame $\underline{\widetilde{\xi}}$ on U adapted to $\mathcal{U}$ satisfying:*

(i) $\underline{\widetilde{\xi}}(p_0) = \underline{\xi}(p_0)$;

(ii) *Let* $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{ij} := \left\langle \nabla \widetilde{\xi}_i, \widetilde{\xi}_j \right\rangle$ $(i, j = 1, ..., n)$ *denote the connection 1-forms of* $\underline{\widetilde{\xi}}$*. Then, one has* $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{ij}(p_0) = 0$ *for every* $i, j = 2, ..., n$.

Clearly $\underline{\widetilde{\xi}} = \{\widetilde{\xi}_2, ..., \widetilde{\xi}_n\}$ is a tangent o.n. frame for $\mathcal{U}$. The proof of this claim is standard; see, for instance, [72], pag. 517-519, eq.(17). Now assuming that $\xi_i(p_0) = \tau_i(p_0)$ for every $i \in I_{HS}$. In particular, we have

$$\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{ij}(X_{p_0}) = \left\langle \nabla_{X_{p_0}} \widetilde{\xi}_i, \widetilde{\xi}_j \right\rangle (p_0) = 0 \qquad \forall\ i, j \in I_{HS},\ \forall\ X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(TS).$$

By extending the o.n. frame $\{\widetilde{\xi}_2, ..., \widetilde{\xi}_h\}$ for the horizontal tangent space HS to a full adapted frame $\underline{\tau}$ in the sense of Definition 1.9, the thesis easily follows. □

The following notion will be used throughout the proof of Lemma 5.5.

Definition 3.11. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^i$ $(i \geq 2)$. We say that a $\mathbf{C}^i$-smooth function $f : \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a defining function for S if $S = \{x \in \mathbb{G} : f = 0\}$ and $\mathit{grad} f \neq 0$ for all $x \in S$. Furthermore, we say that f is a* normalized defining function for S (abbreviated as NDF) *if, and only if, $|\mathit{grad}_H f| = 1$ for all $x \in S \setminus C_S$.*

Remark 3.12. *Some remarks are in order. First, it is not difficult to see that, given a defining function f for S, then a NDF $\widetilde{f}$ for S can simply be defined by dividing f by the magnitude of its horizontal gradient $|\mathit{grad}_H f|$, i.e.*

$$\mathit{grad}\widetilde{f}(p) = \mathit{grad}\left(\frac{f}{|\mathit{grad}_H f|}\right)(p) = \frac{\mathit{grad} f}{|\mathit{grad}_H f|}(p) = \nu_{_H}(p) + \varpi(p) \qquad \forall\ p \in S \setminus C_S.$$

Note that the NDF $\widetilde{f}$ is one order of differentiability less smooth than f. This is what happens also in the Euclidean case; see the book by Krantz and Parks [46] *and references therein. However, at least for* 2*-step Carnot groups, a normalized defining function of class $\mathbf{C}^i$ for every $\mathbf{C}^i$-smooth hypersurface S* $(i \geq 2)$*, is given by the (signed) CC-distance function from S; see* [8].

We end this section with a lemma, which will be important in the sequel. Let S be as above, let $p_0 \in S$ and assume that, locally around p_0, S is the level set of a function $f : U \subset \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We see that, locally around p_0, $Xf = 0$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(TS)$. In particular, $\tau_\alpha^{TS}(f) = 0$ for every $\alpha \in I_V$. As a consequence, by using an adapted frame $\underline{\tau}$, one has $\tau_\alpha(f) = \varpi_\alpha \tau_1(f)$ for every $\alpha \in I_V$. A normal vector along S in a neighborhood of p_0 is given by $\mathcal{N} := \tau_1(f)\tau_1 + \sum_{\alpha \in I_V} \tau_\alpha(f)\tau_\alpha$ and we have $\nu = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{|\mathcal{N}|}$.

Lemma 3.13. *The following identities hold:*

(i) $\phi_{1j}(\tau_1) = \frac{\tau_j(\tau_1(f))}{\tau_1(f)} - \left\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1, \tau_j \right\rangle \quad \forall\ j \in I_{HS}$;

(ii) $\phi_{1j}(\tau_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle C_H^\alpha \tau_1, \tau_j \right\rangle - \left\langle C(\varpi)\tau_\alpha, \tau_j \right\rangle + \frac{\tau_j(\tau_\alpha(f))}{\tau_1(f)} \quad \forall\ j \in I_{HS}\ \forall\ \alpha \in I_V$.

Proof. We have

$$[\tau_1, \tau_j] = \left\langle [\tau_1, \tau_j], \tau_1 \right\rangle \tau_1 + \sum_{k \in I_{HS}} \left\langle [\tau_1, \tau_j], \tau_k \right\rangle \tau_k + \sum_{\alpha \in I_V} \left\langle [\tau_1, \tau_j], \tau_\alpha \right\rangle \tau_\alpha.$$

Therefore

$$[\tau_1, \tau_j](f) = -\tau_j(\tau_1(f)) = \left\langle [\tau_1, \tau_j], \tau_1 \right\rangle \tau_1(f) + \sum_{\alpha \in I_V} \left\langle [\tau_1, \tau_j], \tau_\alpha \right\rangle \tau_\alpha(f)$$

and this implies that

$$C^1_{1j} = \phi_{1j}(\tau_1) = \left\langle [\tau_1, \tau_j], \tau_1 \right\rangle = \frac{\tau_j(\tau_1(f))}{\tau_1(f)} - \sum_{\alpha\in I_V} \frac{\tau_\alpha(f)}{\tau_1(f)} \left\langle C^\alpha_H \tau_1, \tau_j \right\rangle, \tag{12}$$

where we have used the identity $C^\alpha_{1j} = -\left\langle C^\alpha_H \tau_1, \tau_j \right\rangle$. This proves (i).
In order to prove (ii), we compute

$$[\tau_\alpha, \tau_j] = \left\langle [\tau_\alpha, \tau_j], \tau_1 \right\rangle \tau_1 + \sum_{k\in I_{HS}} \left\langle [\tau_\alpha, \tau_j], \tau_k \right\rangle \tau_k + \sum_{\beta\in I_V} \left\langle [\tau_\alpha, \tau_j], \tau_\beta \right\rangle \tau_\beta,$$

from which we get

$$[\tau_\alpha, \tau_j](f) = -\tau_j(\tau_\alpha(f)) = \left\langle [\tau_\alpha, \tau_j], \tau_1 \right\rangle \tau_1(f) + \sum_{\beta\in I_V} \left\langle [\tau_\alpha, \tau_j], \tau_\beta \right\rangle \tau_\beta(f).$$

Thus

$$-\frac{\tau_j(\tau_\alpha(f))}{\tau_1(f)} = -\phi_{1j}(\tau_\alpha) + \phi_{1\alpha}(\tau_j) + \sum_{\beta\in I_V} \varpi_\beta C^1_{\alpha j},$$

where we have used the identity $C^1_{\alpha j} = \left\langle \nabla_{\tau_\alpha} \tau_j, \tau_1 \right\rangle - \left\langle \nabla_{\tau_j} \tau_\alpha, \tau_1 \right\rangle$. Finally, since $\phi_{1\alpha}(\tau_j) = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle C^\alpha_H \tau_1, \tau_j \right\rangle$ (see (vii) of Lemma 3.3), using $C^\beta_{\alpha j} = -\left\langle C^\beta \tau_\alpha, \tau_j \right\rangle$ it follows that

$$\phi_{1j}(\tau_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle C^\alpha_H \tau_1, \tau_j \right\rangle - \sum_{\beta\in I_V} \varpi_\beta \left\langle C^\beta \tau_\alpha, \tau_j \right\rangle + \frac{\tau_j(\tau_\alpha(f))}{\tau_1(f)}, \tag{13}$$

as wished. □

4. Variational formulae for the H-perimeter σ^{n-1}_H

Below we will obtain the 1st and 2nd variation formulae for the H-perimeter measure σ^{n-1}_H. More precisely, we shall assume that $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ is of class $\mathbf{C}^2$, for the 1st variation formula, and that S is of class $\mathbf{C}^3$ for the 2nd variation formula. Under further hypotheses, our formulae allow to move the characteristic set C_S of S.

We stress that, in the case of the first Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$, a 1st variation formula for characteristic surfaces of class $\mathbf{C}^2$ was obtained by Ritoré and Rosales in [67]. Furthermore, Hurtado, Ritoré and Rosales [44] have proved a formula for the 2nd variation of σ^{n-1}_H that is very similar to that stated in Theorem 4.12 below; see also the unpublished preprint [43], where similar results are stated in a general sub-Riemannian setting.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^i$ $(i = 2, 3)$, let $U \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a relatively compact open set having non-empty intersection with S and set $\mathcal{U} := U \cap S$.

The following calculations are made for a bounded open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of S. In particular, we assume $\mathbf{C}^1$-regularity of $\partial\mathcal{U}$. Clearly, if S is a compact hypersurface with boundary, the formulae obtained in the sequel will hold for S.

Definition 4.1. *Let* $\iota : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{G}$ *denote the inclusion of* $\mathcal{U} \subset S$ *in* $\mathbb{G}$ *and let* $\vartheta :]-\epsilon, \epsilon[\times\mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{G}$ *be a* $\mathbf{C}^i$*-smooth map,* $i = 2, 3$. *We say that* ϑ *is a* variation *of* ι *if:*

(i) *every* $\vartheta_t := \vartheta(t, \cdot) : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{G}$ *is an immersion;*
(ii) $\vartheta_0 = \iota$.

Moreover, we say that ϑ keeps the boundary $\partial\mathcal{U}$ fixed *if:*

(iii) $\vartheta_t|_{\partial\mathcal{U}} = \iota|_{\partial\mathcal{U}}$ *for every* $t \in]-\epsilon, \epsilon[$.

The variation vector *of* ϑ *(i.e. its "initial velocity") is defined by* $W := \frac{\partial\vartheta}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} = \vartheta_* \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}$.

We shall set $\widetilde{W} := \frac{\partial\vartheta}{\partial t} = \vartheta_*\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and assume that $\widetilde{W}$ is defined in a neighborhood of $\mathrm{Im}(\vartheta)$. For any "time" $t \in]-\epsilon, \epsilon[$, let ν^t be the unit normal vector along $\mathcal{U}_t := \vartheta_t(\mathcal{U})$ and let $(\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})_t$ be the Riemannian measure on $\mathcal{U}_t$. We assume that $f : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a local equation for the hypersurface S near $p_0 \in S$ and that $f_t :]-\epsilon, \epsilon[\times U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a family of $\mathbf{C}^i$-smooth functions ($i = 2, 3$) satisfying $f_0 = f$ and $f_t(\vartheta_t(x)) = t$ for every $t \in]-\epsilon, \epsilon[$. In other words, the hypersurfaces $\mathcal{U}_t$ are level sets of a defining function f_t and one has $\left\langle \nabla f_t, \widetilde{W}\right\rangle = 1$. Choose an o.n. frame $\underline{\tau}$ on $U \subset \mathbb{G}$ satisfying:

$$\tau_1|_{\mathcal{U}_t} = \nu^t_H; \qquad HT_p\mathcal{U}_t = \mathrm{span}\{(\tau_2)_p, ..., (\tau_h)_p\} \quad \forall\ p \in \mathcal{U}_t; \qquad \tau_\alpha = X_\alpha \tag{14}$$

for every $t \in]-\epsilon, \epsilon[$. Furthermore, let $\underline{\phi} := \{\phi_1, ..., \phi_n\}$ be the dual co-frame of $\underline{\tau}$ (i.e. $\phi_i(\tau_j) = \delta^j_i$ for all $i, j = 1, ..., n$). So, we have $\tau^{TS}_\alpha f_t = 0$; see Definition 1.9. This implies $\tau_\alpha(f_t) = \varpi^t_\alpha\tau_1(f_t)$, where $\varpi^t_\alpha := \frac{\nu^t_\alpha}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu^t|}$. Moreover, since $\left\langle \nabla f_t, \widetilde{W}\right\rangle = 1$, we have $\widetilde{w}_1\tau_1(f_t) + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\widetilde{w}_\alpha\tau_\alpha(f_t) = 1$, where $\widetilde{w}_1 = \left\langle \widetilde{W}, \tau_1\right\rangle$ and $\widetilde{w}_\alpha = \left\langle \widetilde{W}, \tau_\alpha\right\rangle$. Therefore

$$\tau_1(f_t)\left(\widetilde{w}_1 + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\widetilde{w}_\alpha{\varpi^t}_\alpha\right) = 1.$$

Setting $w_t = \frac{\langle\widetilde{W}, \nu^t\rangle}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu^t|}$ it follows that $\tau_1(f_t) = \dfrac{1}{w_t}$ and $\tau_\alpha(f_t) = \dfrac{\varpi^t_\alpha}{w_t}$.

The following technical result will be used in the proof of the 2nd variation of σ^{n-1}_H.

Lemma 4.2. *Under the previous assumptions, we have:*

(i) $\mathcal{P}_{HS_t}(\nabla_{\tau_1}\tau_1) = -\left(\frac{grad_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t} + C_H(\varpi^t_{H_2})\tau_1\right)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{P}_{HS_t}(\nabla_{\tau_\alpha}\tau_1) = \frac{1}{2}C^\alpha_H\tau_1 - C(\varpi^t)\tau_\alpha + grad_{HS_t}\varpi^t_\alpha - \varpi^t_\alpha\frac{grad_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t} \qquad \forall\ \alpha \in I_V.$

Proof. By applying (i) of Lemma 3.13 we get that $\phi_{1j}(\tau_1) = -\frac{\tau_j(w_t)}{w_t} - \left\langle C_H(\varpi^t_{H_2})\tau_1, \tau_j\right\rangle$. Furthermore, (ii) of Lemma 3.13 implies

$$\phi_{1j}(\tau_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle C^\alpha_H\tau_1, \tau_j\right\rangle - \left\langle C(\varpi^t)\tau_\alpha, \tau_j\right\rangle + \tau_j(\varpi^t_\alpha) - \varpi^t_\alpha\frac{\tau_j(w_t)}{w_t} \qquad \forall\ \alpha \in I_V. \tag{15}$$

This achieves the proof.

□

General remarks. In order to discuss the variational formulae of σ^{n-1}_H, let us set

$$(\sigma^{n-1}_H)_t \llcorner \mathcal{U}_t = (\tau_1 \lrcorner \phi_1 \wedge ... \wedge \phi_n)|_{\mathcal{U}_t} = (\phi_2 \wedge ... \wedge \phi_n)|_{\mathcal{U}_t}.$$

We also set $\Gamma(t) := \vartheta^*_t(\phi_2 \wedge ... \wedge \phi_n)$. Note that $\Gamma :]-\epsilon, \epsilon[\times\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \Omega^{n-1}(\mathcal{U})$ defines a 1-parameter family of differential $(n-1)$-forms on $\mathcal{U}$.

Remark 4.3. *By definition, the* 1st and 2nd variation formulae of σ^{n-1}_H along $\mathcal{U}$ *are given by*

$$I_{\mathcal{U}}(\sigma^{n-1}_H) := \frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\mathcal{U}}\Gamma(t)\right)\Bigg|_{t=0}, \qquad II_{\mathcal{U}}(\sigma^{n-1}_H) := \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\left(\int_{\mathcal{U}}\Gamma(t)\right)\Bigg|_{t=0}. \tag{16}$$

So we have a natural question: is it possible to bring the "time"derivatives inside the integral sign? Note that the answer is "yes"if we assume that $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is non-characteristic. Indeed, in such a case it is not difficult[7] *to show that there exists* $\epsilon > 0$ *such that the 1-parameter family* $\Gamma(\cdot)$ *of differential* $(n-1)$*-forms on* $\mathcal{U}$ *is* $\mathbf{C}^{i-1}$*-smooth on* $]-\epsilon, \epsilon[\times\mathcal{U}$. *This allows us to estimate, uniformly in time, both differential* $(n-1)$*-forms* $\dot{\Gamma}(t)$ *and* $\ddot{\Gamma}(t)$. *We will return on this point later in this section.*

---

[7] Actually, since $grad_H f_t \neq 0$ at $t = 0$, there must exist $\epsilon > 0$ such that $grad_H f_t \neq 0$ for all $t \in]-\epsilon, \epsilon[$ and hence $\nu^t_H = \frac{grad_H f_t}{|grad_H f_t|}$, which is the unit H-normal along $\mathcal{U}_t = \vartheta_t(\mathcal{U})$, turns out to be of class $\mathbf{C}^{i-1}$, $i = 2, 3$. This implies that $(\sigma^{n-1}_H)_t$ is $\mathbf{C}^{i-1}$-smooth. Therefore $\Gamma(t) = \vartheta^*_t(\sigma^{n-1}_H)_t$ is $\mathbf{C}^{i-1}$-smooth.

Warning 4.4. *Preliminarily, we need the following assumptions:*

(A_1) *if* $\mathcal{U}$ *is of class* $\mathbf{C}^2$ *there exists an integrable differential* $(n-1)$*-form* $\Phi_1 \in \Omega^{n-1}(\mathcal{U})$*, such that:*

$$|\dot{\Gamma}(t)(\mathrm{t}_1, ..., \mathrm{t}_{n-1})| \leq |\Phi_1(\mathrm{t}_1, ..., \mathrm{t}_{n-1})|$$

for every o.n. basis $\underline{\mathrm{t}} = \{\mathrm{t}_1, ..., \mathrm{t}_{n-1}\}$ *of* $T\mathcal{U}$*.*

(A_2) *if* $\mathcal{U}$ *is of class* $\mathbf{C}^3$ *there exist integrable differential* $(n-1)$*-forms* $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \Omega^{n-1}(\mathcal{U})$*, such that:*

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{\Gamma}(t)(\mathrm{t}_1, ..., \mathrm{t}_{n-1})| &\leq |\Phi_1(\mathrm{t}_1, ..., \mathrm{t}_{n-1})| \\ |\ddot{\Gamma}(t)(\mathrm{t}_1, ..., \mathrm{t}_{n-1})| &\leq |\Phi_2(\mathrm{t}_1, ..., \mathrm{t}_{n-1})| \end{aligned}$$

for every o.n. basis $\underline{\mathrm{t}} = \{\mathrm{t}_1, ..., \mathrm{t}_{n-1}\}$ *of* $T\mathcal{U}$*.*

1st variation. We first note that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} \Gamma(t) = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \vartheta_t^*(\sigma^{n-1}_H)_t = \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|\,\mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t\,\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}},$$

where $\mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t$ denotes the usual Jacobian of the map ϑ_t; see [70], Ch. 2, § 8, pp. 46-48. Indeed, by definition, we have $(\sigma^{n-1}_H)_t = |\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|(\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})_t$ and hence the previous formula follows from the Area formula of Federer; see [29] or [70]. Let us set $f :]-\epsilon, \epsilon[\times \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(t, x) := |\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t(x)|\,\mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t(x). \tag{17}$$

In this case, we also set $C_{\mathcal{U}} := \{x \in \mathcal{U} : |\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t(x)| = 0\}$. With this notation, our original question can be solved by applying to f the Theorem of Differentiation under the integral; see [45], Corollary 1.2.2, p.124. More precisely, let us compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df}{dt} &= \frac{d\,|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|}{dt}\mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t + |\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|\frac{d\,\mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t}{dt} \\ &= \left\langle \widetilde{W}, grad\,|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t| \right\rangle \mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t + |\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|\frac{d\,\mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t}{dt} \\ &= \left(\left\langle \widetilde{W}^{\perp}, grad\,|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t| \right\rangle + \left\langle \widetilde{W}^{\top}, grad\,|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t| \right\rangle + |\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t| div_{T\mathcal{U}_t}\widetilde{W} \right) \mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t \\ &= \left(\left\langle \widetilde{W}^{\perp}, grad\,|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t| \right\rangle + div_{T\mathcal{U}_t}\left(\widetilde{W}|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t| \right) \right) \mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where we have used the very definition of tangential divergence and the well-known calculation of $\frac{d\,\mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t}{dt}$, which can be found in Chavel's book [16]; see Ch.2, p.34. Now since $|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|$ is a Lipschitz continuous function, it follows that $\frac{df}{dt}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{U} \setminus C_{\mathcal{U}}$ and so lies in $L^1_{loc}(\mathcal{U}; \sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})$. Therefore, we can pass the time-derivative through the integral sign. This shows that: *condition* (A_1) *in Warning 4.4 is always satisfied.* In particular, we have proved the following 1st variation formula:

$$I_{\mathcal{U}}(\sigma^{n-1}_H) = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \dot{\Gamma}(0) = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left(\left\langle W^{\perp}, grad\,|\mathcal{P}_H\nu_{_H}| \right\rangle + div_{T\mathcal{U}}\left(W|\mathcal{P}_H\nu_{_H}| \right) \right) \sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}}. \tag{19}$$

It follows from definitions that $\frac{df}{dt}$ can be regarded as the Lie derivative of $(\sigma^{n-1}_H)_t$ with respect to the variation vector $\widetilde{W}$, that is

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \vartheta_t^*\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}(\sigma^{n-1}_H)_t. \tag{20}$$

Remark 4.5. *Note that formula* (20) *can be proved exactly as in Spivak's book* [72]*, Ch. 9, p. 420. As already mentioned in Section 2.1, this fact allows us to use some standard tools in Differential Geometry such as the Cartan's formula. In this way, another expression for the integrand* $\dot{\Gamma}(0)$ *can be derived; see, for instance, formula* (8)*. Nevertheless, this new expression it is not necessarily in* L^1_{loc}*, with respect to the Riemannian measure* $\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}}$*; see Remark 2.15.*

More precisely, we have

$$\dot{\Gamma}(0) = \imath^{*}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}(\sigma^{n-1}_{H})_{t}\right) = \imath^{*}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}(\phi_{2}\wedge ...\wedge\phi_{n})\right).$$

By Cartan's formula

$$\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}(\sigma^{n-1}_{H})_{t} = \widetilde{W}\rfloor\, d\,(\sigma^{n-1}_{H})_{t} + d\,(\widetilde{W}\rfloor(\sigma^{n-1}_{H})_{t})$$

and hence

$$\dot{\Gamma}(0) = \imath^{*}\left(\widetilde{W}\rfloor\, d\,(\sigma^{n-1}_{H})_{t} + d\left(\widetilde{W}\rfloor(\sigma^{n-1}_{H})_{t}\right)\right). \tag{21}$$

By applying the 1st structure equation of the co-frame $\underline{\phi}$ (see formula (3)) we have

$$d\,(\sigma^{n-1}_{H})_{t} = \sum_{j=2}^{n}(-1)^{j}\phi_{2}\wedge ...\wedge d\,\phi_{j}\wedge ...\wedge\phi_{n} = \sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\phi_{1j}(\tau_{j})\,\phi_{1}\wedge ...\wedge\phi_{n} = -(\mathcal{H}_{H})_{t}(\sigma^{n}_{\mathcal{R}})_{t},$$

where $(\mathcal{H}_{H})_{t} := -\sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\phi_{1j}(\tau_{j}) = \sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\left\langle\nabla^{H}_{\tau_{j}}\tau_{j}, \nu^{t}_{H}\right\rangle$ is the horizontal mean curvature of $\mathcal{U}_{t}$. Note that we have used (v) of Lemma 3.3.

The calculation of the second term has been discussed in detail in Section 2; see Lemma 2.13. More precisely, we have

$$d\left(\widetilde{W}\rfloor(\sigma^{n-1}_{H})_{t}\right) = div_{T\mathcal{U}_{t}}\left(\widetilde{W}^{\top}|\mathcal{P}_{H_{t}}\nu^{t}| - \langle\widetilde{W},\nu^{t}\rangle{\nu^{t}_{H}}^{\top}\right)(\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})_{t}.$$

Therefore, under the previous assumptions, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}(\sigma^{n-1}_{H})_{t} = \left(-(\mathcal{H}_{H})_{t}\langle\widetilde{W},\nu^{t}\rangle + div_{T\mathcal{U}_{t}}\left(\widetilde{W}^{\top}|\mathcal{P}_{H_{t}}\nu^{t}| - \langle\widetilde{W},\nu^{t}\rangle{\nu^{t}_{H}}^{\top}\right)\right)(\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})_{t}. \tag{22}$$

Finally, the desired formula follows by setting $t = 0$; see formula (8).

Theorem 4.6 (1st variation of σ^{n-1}_{H})**.** *Let $S\subset\mathbb{G}$ be a compact hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ with, or without, boundary and let $\vartheta :]-\epsilon,\epsilon[\times S\longrightarrow\mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^{2}$-smooth variation of S. Let $W = \frac{d\vartheta_{t}}{dt}\big|_{t=0}$ be the variation vector field and let $W^{\perp}$ and $W^{\top}$ be the normal and tangential components of W along S, respectively. We also set $w := \frac{\langle W^{\perp},\nu\rangle}{|\mathcal{P}_{H}\nu|}$. Then*

$$I_{S}(\sigma^{n-1}_{H}) = \int_{S}\left(\left\langle W^{\perp}, grad\,|\mathcal{P}_{H}\nu_{H}|\right\rangle + div_{TS}\,(W|\mathcal{P}_{H}\nu_{H}|)\right)\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}}. \tag{23}$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{H}_{H}\in L^{1}(S;\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_{S}(W,\sigma^{n-1}_{H}) &= \int_{S}-\mathcal{H}_{H}\,w\,\sigma^{n-1}_{H} + \int_{S}div_{TS}\left(W^{\top}|\mathcal{P}_{H}\nu| - \langle W,\nu\rangle\nu_{H}^{\top}\right)\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}} && (24)\\ &= \int_{S}\left(-\mathcal{H}_{H}\langle W^{\perp},\nu\rangle + div_{TS}\left(W^{\top}|\mathcal{P}_{H}\nu| - \langle W^{\perp},\nu\rangle\nu_{H}^{\top}\right)\right)\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}}. && (25)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Formula (23) is nothing but formula (19). Set $t = 0$ in formula (22). If $\mathcal{H}_{H}\in L^{1}(S;\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})$, then we can integrate this formula over S. Indeed, under such an assumption, all terms in the formula above turn out to be in $L^{1}(S;\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})$. More precisely, for what concerns the term $div_{TS}\,(W^{\top}|\mathcal{P}_{H}\nu|)$, note that $W^{\top}\in\mathfrak{X}^{1}(TS) = \mathbf{C}^{1}(S, TS)$ and that $|\mathcal{P}_{H}\nu|$ is Lipschitz continuous. Moreover, if $\mathcal{H}_{H}\in L^{1}(S;\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})$, the second term $div_{TS}\left(\langle W,\nu\rangle\nu_{H}^{\top}\right)$ belongs to $L^{1}(S;\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})$. In fact, one has

$$div_{TS}\left(\langle W,\nu\rangle\nu_{H}^{\top}\right) = div_{TS}\,(\langle W,\nu\rangle\,(\nu_{H} - |\mathcal{P}_{H}\nu|\nu))$$

and the claim easily follows by using Lemma 3.1. Hence, we have

$$I_{S}(\sigma^{n-1}_{H}) = \int_{S}\dot{\Gamma}(0) = \int_{S}\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}(\sigma^{n-1}_{H})_{t}\big|_{t=0} = \int_{S}\left(-\mathcal{H}_{H}\langle W,\nu\rangle + div_{TS}\left(W^{\top}|\mathcal{P}_{H}\nu| - \langle W,\nu\rangle\nu_{H}^{\top}\right)\right)\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}}.$$

□

Corollary 4.7. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 4.6 hold. Let ∂S be of class $\mathbf{C}^1$ and let η be the unit outward normal along ∂S. Then*

$$I_S(W,\sigma_H^{n-1}) = \int_S -\mathcal{H}_H\, w\, \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S} \left\langle \left(W^\top |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| - \langle W,\nu\rangle \nu_H^\top\right), \eta\right\rangle \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-2}. \tag{26}$$

Furthermore, if W is compactly supported on S, then

$$I_S(W,\sigma_H^{n-1}) = \int_S -\mathcal{H}_H\, w\, \sigma_H^{n-1}. \tag{27}$$

Proof. Immediate, since the vector field $Y := W^\top|\mathcal{P}_H\nu| - \langle W^\perp,\nu\rangle\nu_H^\top$ is admissible (for the Riemannian divergence formula); see condition (♠) in Definition 2.7. In fact, we see that $Y \in L^\infty(S)$ and using the fact that $\mathcal{H}_H \in L^1(S;\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})$ yields $div_{TS}(Y) \in L^1(S;\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})$. ◻

2nd variation. We regard this proof as a continuation of the proof of the 1st variation formula. From now on, we assume $\mathcal{U}$ and S to be of class $\mathbf{C}^3$. Moreover, the boundary $\partial\mathcal{U}$ (or, ∂S when S is compact) is assumed to be of class $\mathbf{C}^1$. We also recall that, for the 2nd variation formula, the variation ϑ is assumed to be of class $\mathbf{C}^3$ on $]-\epsilon,\epsilon[\times\mathcal{U}$.

First, let us compute the second time-derivative of the function $f(t,x)$; see (17). To this end we begin with formula (18). We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2 f}{dt^2} &= \frac{d}{dt}\left[\frac{d\,|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|}{dt}\,\mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t + |\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|\frac{d\,\mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t}{dt}\right]\\ &= \frac{d^2\,|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|}{dt^2}\,\mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t + 2\frac{d\,|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|}{dt}\frac{d\,\mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t}{dt} + |\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|\frac{d^2\,\mathcal{J}ac\,\vartheta_t}{dt^2}.\end{aligned}$$

At a first glance, it is clear that only the first term is not bounded near the characteristic set $C_{\mathcal{U}}$. More precisely, it is elementary to see that

$$\frac{d^2\,|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|}{dt^2} = \frac{\left|\frac{d\,\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t}{dt}\right|^2 - \left\langle\frac{d\,\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t}{dt},\nu_H^t\right\rangle^2}{|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|} + \left\langle\frac{d^2\,\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t}{dt^2},\nu_H^t\right\rangle.$$

This shows that, in order to differentiate under the integral sign, we need the following further hypothesis:

(A_3) *there exists $h \in L^1\left(\mathcal{U};\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}\right)$ such that $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|} \leq h$ for every $t \in]-\epsilon,\epsilon[$.*

Remark 4.8. *Using (A_3) it is not difficult to show the validity of (A_2) in Warning 4.4.*

We continue our proof of the 2nd variation of σ_H^{n-1} with the calculation of $\ddot\Gamma(t)$ at a fixed non-characteristic point $p_0 \in \mathcal{U}\setminus C_{\mathcal{U}}$. To this end, we start from the following formula:

$$\ddot\Gamma(t) = \vartheta_t^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde W}\left(\widetilde W \lrcorner\, d(\sigma_H^{n-1})_t\right) + \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde W}\, d\left(\widetilde W \lrcorner\, (\sigma_H^{n-1})_t\right)\right). \tag{28}$$

As already said, the 2nd time-derivative of $\Gamma(t)$ can still be computed as a Lie derivative. Moreover, since $d\circ\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}\circ d$, we have

$$\ddot\Gamma(t) = \vartheta_t^*\left(\underbrace{\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde W}\left(\widetilde W \lrcorner\, d(\sigma_H^{n-1})_t\right)}_{=:A} + d\,\underbrace{\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde W}\left(\widetilde W \lrcorner\, (\sigma_H^{n-1})_t\right)}_{=:B}\right). \tag{29}$$

The calculation of $A = \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde W}\left(\widetilde W \lrcorner\, d(\sigma_H^{n-1})_t\right)$ is the "hard" part of the 2nd variation formula and will be done in the sequel. So let us preliminarily consider the quantity $B = \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde W}\left(\widetilde W \lrcorner\, (\sigma_H^{n-1})_t\right)$. (In the next calculations we will use the following general identity for Lie derivatives of a differential form ω:

$$\mathcal{L}_Z(Y \lrcorner\, \omega) = [Z,Y]\lrcorner\, \omega + Y\lrcorner\, \mathcal{L}_Z\omega; \tag{30}$$

see [72], Ch. 9, p. 515). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}\left(\widetilde{W}\lrcorner(\sigma_{H}^{n-1})_t\right)\\
&= \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}\left(\underbrace{\left(\widetilde{W}^{\top}|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t| - \langle\widetilde{W},\nu^t\rangle{\nu_H^t}^{\top}\right)}_{=:\widetilde{Y}}\lrcorner(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})_t\right) \qquad \text{(by Lemma 2.13)}\\
&= [\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]\lrcorner(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})_t + \widetilde{Y}\lrcorner\,\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})_t \qquad \text{(by 30)}\\
&= [\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]^{\top}\lrcorner(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})_t + \widetilde{Y}\lrcorner\underbrace{\left(-\langle\widetilde{W},\nu^t\rangle(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}})_t + div_{T\mathcal{U}_t}\left(\widetilde{W}^{\top}\right)\right)}_{=:g_t}(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})_t \quad \text{(by the 1st variation of } (\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})_t)\\
&= \left([\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]^{\top} + g_t\widetilde{Y}\right)\lrcorner(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the second term in formula (29), i.e. dB, is given by

$$dB = d\left\{\left([\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]^{\top} + g_t\widetilde{Y}\right)\lrcorner(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})_t\right\} = div_{T\mathcal{U}_t}\left([\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]^{\top} + g_t\widetilde{Y}\right)(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})_t. \tag{31}$$

Step 0. [Divergence-type terms]. Set $t = 0$. First, note that $[\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]^{\top}\big|_{t=0}$ is a vector field of class $\mathbf{C}^1$ out of $C_{\mathcal{U}}$. We also stress that

$$[\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]^{\top}\big|_{t=0} = [\widetilde{W},\widetilde{W}^{\top}]^{\top}\big|_{t=0} - W(\langle W,\nu\rangle)\nu_H^{\top} - \langle W,\nu\rangle[\widetilde{W},{\nu_H^t}^{\top}]^{\top}\big|_{t=0}.$$

Clearly, the first term is a vector field of class $\mathbf{C}^1$. The second term is the product of a $\mathbf{C}^1$ function times the vector field $\nu_H^{\top}$. Although not defined at $C_{\mathcal{U}}$, this term belongs to L^{∞}. Furthermore

$$[\widetilde{W},{\nu_H^t}^{\top}]^{\top}\big|_{t=0} = \left[\widetilde{W},\left(\nu_H^t - |\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|\nu^t\right)\right]^{\top}\Big|_{t=0} = [\widetilde{W},\nu_H^t]^{\top}\big|_{t=0} - |\mathcal{P}_H\nu|\,[\widetilde{W},\nu^t]\big|_{t=0}.$$

By using the very definition of $\nu_H^t = \frac{\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t}{|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|}$, we easily see that $[\widetilde{W},\nu_H^t]^{\top}\big|_{t=0}$ can be estimated near the characteristic set $C_{\mathcal{U}}$ by (a constant times) the function $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|}$. Continuing this argument, it is not difficult to realize that the tangential divergence of $[\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]^{\top}$, at $t = 0$, i.e. $div_{TS}\,[\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]^{\top}\big|_{t=0}$, can be estimated, locally around $C_{\mathcal{U}}$, by (a constant times) the function $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|^2}$. An analogous argument can be repeated for the second divergence-type term in formula (31). In fact, since the function g_t is of class $\mathbf{C}^1$ on $\mathcal{U}_t$ for all $t\in]-\epsilon,\epsilon[$, we see that, near the characteristic set $C_{\mathcal{U}}$, the function $div_{T\mathcal{U}}(g_0Y)$ can be estimated by (a constant times) the function $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|}$.

Remark 4.9. *The previous estimates show that, in order to integrate the divergence-type term dB on $\mathcal{U}$, we need a further condition. More precisely, we have (at least) to require that $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|^2}\in L^1(\mathcal{U},\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})$.*

Step 1. We start with the calculation of the term A in formula (29).

Warning 4.10. *In order to simplify our calculations, hereafter we shall assume $\mathcal{H}_H$* to be constant.

Remark 4.11. *We stress that if $\mathcal{H}_H$ = const., then $\mathcal{L}_X\mathcal{H}_H = 0$ for all $X\in\mathfrak{X}^1(TS)$. In particular, if W denotes the variation vector of ϑ_t, we have $\imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}_{HS}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right) = \mathcal{L}_{W_{HS}}\mathcal{H}_H = 0$. Analogously, we have $\imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\tau_\alpha^{TS}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right) = \mathcal{L}_{\tau_\alpha^{TS}}\mathcal{H}_H = 0$ for all $\alpha\in I_V$. Hence*

$$\imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\tau_\alpha}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right) = \imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\varpi_\alpha^t\nu_H^t}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right) \qquad \forall\ \alpha\in I_V. \tag{32}$$

If $t=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A|_{t=0} &= \imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}\left(-w_t\,(\mathcal{H}_H)_t(\sigma^{n-1}_H)_t\right)\right)\\ &= \left(-w\mathcal{H}_H\,\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}(\sigma^{n-1}_H)_t - W(w)\mathcal{H}_H - w\,\imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right)\right)\sigma^{n-1}_H\\ &= -w\mathcal{H}_H\left(-\mathcal{H}_H\langle W,\nu\rangle + div_{T\mathcal{U}}\left(W^\top|\mathcal{P}_H\nu| - \langle W,\nu\rangle\nu_H^\top\right)\right)\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}} - \left(W(w)\mathcal{H}_H + w\,\imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right)\right)\sigma^{n-1}_H\\ &= \left(\mathcal{H}^2_H w^2 - W(w)\mathcal{H}_H - \imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right)\right)\sigma^{n-1}_H - w\mathcal{H}_H\,div_{T\mathcal{U}}\left(W^\top|\mathcal{P}_H\nu| - \langle W,\nu\rangle\nu_H^\top\right)\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the 1st variation of σ^{n-1}_H.

Step 2. Setting $W_{\pitchfork} := w_1\nu_H + W_V$, where $W_V = \sum_{\alpha\in I_V} w_\alpha\tau_\alpha$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right) &= \imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}_{HS}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right) + \imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}_{\pitchfork}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right)\\ &= \imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}_{\pitchfork}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right) \qquad \text{(by Remark 4.11)}\\ &= \imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{w_1\nu^t_H}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right) + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{w_\alpha\tau_\alpha}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right)\\ &= \imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{w_1\nu^t_H}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right) + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{w_\alpha\varpi^t_\alpha\nu^t_H}}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right) \qquad \text{(by (32))}\\ &= \imath^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{w_t\,\nu^t_H}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right). \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Step 3. From Step 2, we see that it remains to calculate $\mathcal{L}_{w_t\,\nu^t_H}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t = w_t\frac{\partial(\mathcal{H}_H)_t}{\partial\nu^t_H}$. This will be done by using an adapted frame $\underline{\tau}=\{\tau_1,...,\tau_n\}$ to $\mathcal{U}$ which satisfies Lemma 3.9 at $p_0\in\mathcal{U}$. We also recall that $\tau_1(x)=\nu_H(x)$ for every $x\in\mathcal{U}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial(\mathcal{H}_H)_t}{\partial\nu^t_H} &= \sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_1}\left\langle\nabla_{\tau_j}\tau_1,\tau_j\right\rangle\\ &= \sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\left(\left\langle\nabla_{\tau_1}\nabla_{\tau_j}\tau_1,\tau_j\right\rangle + \left\langle\nabla_{\tau_j}\tau_1,\nabla_{\tau_1}\tau_j\right\rangle\right)\\ &= \sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\left(\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\tau_1}\nabla_{\tau_j}\tau_1 \mp \nabla_{\tau_j}\nabla_{\tau_1}\tau_1 \mp \nabla_{[\tau_1,\tau_j]}\tau_1\right),\tau_j\right\rangle + \sum_{k=2}^{n}\left\langle\nabla_{\tau_j}\tau_1,\tau_k\right\rangle\left\langle\nabla_{\tau_1}\tau_j,\tau_k\right\rangle\right)\\ &= \sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\left(-\Phi_{1j}(\tau_1,\tau_j) + \left\langle\nabla_{\tau_j}\nabla_{\tau_1}\tau_1,\tau_j\right\rangle + \left\langle\nabla_{[\tau_1,\tau_j]}\tau_1,\tau_j\right\rangle + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}^{n}\left\langle\nabla_{\tau_j}\tau_1,\tau_\alpha\right\rangle\left\langle\nabla_{\tau_1}\tau_j,\tau_\alpha\right\rangle\right) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the definition of $\Phi_{1j}(\tau_1,\tau_j)$ and the fact (Lemma 3.9) that $\phi_{jk}=0$ at $p_0\in\mathcal{U}$ for every $j,k\in I_{HS}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle\nabla_{[\tau_1,\tau_j]}\tau_1,\tau_j\right\rangle &= C^1_{1j}\phi_{1j}(\tau_1) + \sum_{k\in I_{HS}}C^k_{1j}\phi_{1j}(\tau_k) + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}C^\alpha_{1j}\phi_{1j}(\tau_\alpha)\\ &= -\left(\phi_{1j}(\tau_1)\right)^2 - \sum_{k\in I_{HS}}\phi_{1k}(\tau_j)\,\phi_{1j}(\tau_k) - \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left\langle C^\alpha_H\tau_1,\tau_j\right\rangle\phi_{1j}(\tau_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (vii) of Lemma 3.9 yields

$$\left\langle\nabla_{\tau_j}\tau_1,\tau_\alpha\right\rangle\left\langle\nabla_{\tau_1}\tau_j,\tau_\alpha\right\rangle = \phi_{1\alpha}(\tau_j)\phi_{j\alpha}(\tau_1) = -\frac{1}{4}\left\langle C^\alpha_H\tau_1,\tau_j\right\rangle^2.$$

Therefore, Lemma 3.8 implies that

$$-\frac{\partial(\mathcal{H}_H)_t}{\partial\nu^t_H} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in I_{H_2}}|C^\alpha_H\tau_1|^2 + div_{HS_t}\,(\nabla_{\tau_1}\tau_1) - \sum_{j,k\in I_{HS}\ \alpha\in I_V}\left(\left(\phi_{1j}(\tau_1)\right)^2 + \phi_{1k}(\tau_j)\phi_{1j}(\tau_k) + \left\langle C^\alpha_H\tau_1,\tau_j\right\rangle\phi_{1j}(\tau_\alpha)\right).$$

Hence, from Lemma 3.5, Lemma 4.2 and formula (15) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\partial(\mathcal{H}_H)_t}{\partial\nu_H^t} &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in I_{H_2}} |C_H^\alpha\tau_1|^2 - \mathit{div}_{HS_t}\left(\frac{\mathit{grad}_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t} + C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right)\\
&\quad -\left|\frac{\mathit{grad}_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t} + C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right|^2 + \|A_H^t\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 - \|S_H^t\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2\\
&\quad -\sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1,\tau_j\right\rangle\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1,\tau_j\right\rangle - \left\langle C(\varpi^t)\tau_\alpha,\tau_j\right\rangle + \tau_j(\varpi_\alpha^t) - \varpi_\alpha^t\frac{\tau_j(w_t)}{w_t}\right).\\
&= -\mathit{div}_{HS_t}\left(\frac{\mathit{grad}_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t} + C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right) - \left|\frac{\mathit{grad}_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t} + C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right|^2 + \|A_H^t\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 - \|S_H^t\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2\\
&\quad +\sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1,\tau_j\right\rangle\left(\left\langle C(\varpi^t)\tau_\alpha,\tau_j\right\rangle - \tau_j(\varpi_\alpha^t) + \varpi_\alpha^t\frac{\tau_j(w_t)}{w_t}\right)\\
&= -\mathit{div}_{HS_t}\left(\frac{\mathit{grad}_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t} + C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right) - \left|\frac{\mathit{grad}_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t} + C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right|^2 + \|A_H^t\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 - \|S_H^t\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2\\
&\quad +\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left(\left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, C(\varpi^t)\tau_\alpha\right\rangle - \left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, \mathit{grad}_{HS_t}\varpi_\alpha^t\right\rangle\right) + \left\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1, \frac{\mathit{grad}_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t}\right\rangle\\
&= -\frac{\Delta_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t} - \mathit{div}_{HS_t}\left(C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right) - \left|C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right|^2 - 2\left\langle\frac{\mathit{grad}_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t}, C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right\rangle + \|A_H^t\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 - \|S_H^t\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2\\
&\quad +\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left(\left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, C(\varpi^t)\tau_\alpha\right\rangle - \left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, \mathit{grad}_{HS_t}\varpi_\alpha^t\right\rangle\right) + \left\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1, \frac{\mathit{grad}_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t}\right\rangle\\
&= -\frac{\Delta_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t} - \mathit{div}_{HS_t}\left(C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right) - \left|C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right|^2 - \left\langle\frac{\mathit{grad}_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t}, C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right\rangle + \|A_H^t\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 - \|S_H^t\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2\\
&\quad +\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left(\left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, C(\varpi^t)\tau_\alpha\right\rangle - \left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, \mathit{grad}_{HS_t}\varpi_\alpha^t\right\rangle\right)\\
&= -\frac{\mathcal{L}_{HS_t} w_t}{w_t} - \mathcal{D}_{HS_t}\left(C_H(\varpi_{H_2}^t)\tau_1\right) + \|A_H^t\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 - \|S_H^t\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left(\left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, C(\varpi^t)\tau_\alpha\right\rangle - \left\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, \mathit{grad}_{HS_t}\varpi_\alpha^t\right\rangle\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Under the assumptions made in Warning 4.4, Remark 4.8 and Warning 4.10, we can now achieve the proof of the 2nd variation of σ_H^{n-1}.

Step 4. We know by Remark 2.9 that if $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|^2} \in L^2(\mathcal{U}, \sigma_H^{n-1})$, then the function w^2 is admissible; see Definition 2.7. Hence, if $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|^2} \in L^2\left(S\,;\sigma_H^{n-1}\right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
II_{\mathcal{U}}(W,\sigma_H^{n-1}) &= \int_{\mathcal{U}}\left((w\,\mathcal{H}_H)^2 - W(w)\mathcal{H}_H - w\,\iota^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{w_t\nu_H^t}(\mathcal{H}_H)_t\right)\right)\sigma_H^{n-1}\\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{U}}\left(\mathit{div}_{T\mathcal{U}}\left([\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]^\top\big|_{t=0} + g_0 Y\right) - w\mathcal{H}_H\,\mathit{div}_{T\mathcal{U}}\left(W^\top|\mathcal{P}_H\nu| - \langle W,\nu\rangle\nu_H^\top\right)\right)\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}\\
&= \int_{\mathcal{U}}\Bigg\{-W(w)\mathcal{H}_H + w^2\left((\mathcal{H}_H)^2 + \|A_H\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 - \|S_H\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2\right) - w\,\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,w\\
&\quad + w^2\Bigg[-\mathcal{D}_{HS}\,(C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1) + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}(\langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, C(\varpi)\tau_\alpha\rangle - \langle C_H^\alpha\tau_1, \mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varpi_\alpha\rangle)\Bigg]\Bigg\}\sigma_H^{n-1}\\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{U}}\left(\mathit{div}_{T\mathcal{U}}\left([\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]^\top\big|_{t=0} + g_0 Y\right) - w\mathcal{H}_H\,\mathit{div}_{T\mathcal{U}}\left(W^\top|\mathcal{P}_H\nu| - \langle W,\nu\rangle\nu_H^\top\right)\right)\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathcal{U}}\Big\{-W(w)\mathcal{H}_H+w^2\Big((\mathcal{H}_H)^2+\|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}-\|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}\Big)+|grad_{HS}\,w|^2 \qquad \text{(by formula (6))}\\
&\qquad +w^2\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\big(-\varpi_\alpha\mathcal{D}_{HS}\,(C^\alpha_H\tau_1)+\langle C^\alpha_H\tau_1, C(\varpi)\tau_\alpha\rangle-2\,\langle C^\alpha_H\tau_1, grad_{HS}\,\varpi_\alpha\rangle\big)\Big\}\,\sigma^{n-1}_H \qquad (34)\\
&\qquad +\int_{\mathcal{U}}\Big(div_{T\mathcal{U}}\Big([\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]^\top\Big|_{t=0}+g_0Y\Big)-w\mathcal{H}_H\,div_{T\mathcal{U}}\Big(W^\top|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|-\langle W,\nu\rangle\nu_H^\top\Big)\Big)\,\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 3.6 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{U}}\Big\{-W(w)\mathcal{H}_H+w^2\Big((\mathcal{H}_H)^2-\|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}-\|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}\Big)+|grad_{HS}\,w|^2\\
&\qquad +w^2\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\Big[-|C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1|^2+\langle C^\alpha_H\tau_1, C(\varpi)\tau_\alpha\rangle-2\,\langle C^\alpha_H\tau_1, grad_{HS}\,\varpi_\alpha\rangle\Big]\Big\}\,\sigma^{n-1}_H\\
&= \int_{\mathcal{U}}\Big\{-W(w)\mathcal{H}_H+w^2\Big((\mathcal{H}_H)^2-\|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}-\|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}\Big)+|grad_{HS}\,w|^2\\
&\qquad -w^2\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\big\langle\big(2\,grad_{HS}\,(\varpi_\alpha)-C(\varpi)\tau^{TS}_\alpha\big), C^\alpha\tau_1\big\rangle\Big\}\,\sigma^{n-1}_H,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we recall that $\tau^{TS}_\alpha=\tau_\alpha-\varpi_\alpha\tau_1$ and that $\tau_1=\nu_H$, $\tau_\alpha=X_\alpha$ for any $\alpha\in I_V$; see Definition 1.9. Finally, using the last identity in (34) yields the following:

Theorem 4.12 (2nd variation of σ^{n-1}_H)**.** *Let $S\subset\mathbb{G}$ be a compact hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^3$ with, or without, boundary and let $\vartheta:]-\epsilon,\epsilon[\times S\longrightarrow\mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^3$-smooth variation of S. Let $W=\frac{d\vartheta_t}{dt}\big|_{t=0}$ be the variation vector field, let $w:=\frac{\langle W^\perp,\nu\rangle}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|}$ and let $W^\perp$, $W^\top$ be the normal and tangential components of W along S, respectively. We further assume that:*

- (i) *there exists[8] $h\in L^1\big(\mathcal{U};\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}}\big)$ such that $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|}\leq h$ for every $t\in]-\epsilon,\epsilon[$;*
- (ii) *the horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_H$ of S is constant;*
- (iii) *the function $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|^2}\in L^2\big(S;\sigma^{n-1}_H\big)$.*

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
II_S(W,\sigma^{n-1}_H) &= \int_S\Big\{-W(w)\mathcal{H}_H+w^2\Big((\mathcal{H}_H)^2-\|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}-\|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}\Big)+|grad_{HS}\,w|^2\\
&\qquad -w^2\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\big\langle\big(2\,grad_{HS}\,(\varpi_\alpha)-C(\varpi)\tau^{TS}_\alpha\big), C^\alpha\nu_H\big\rangle\Big\}\,\sigma^{n-1}_H \qquad (35)\\
&\qquad +\int_S\Big\{div_{TS}\Big([\widetilde{W},\widetilde{Y}]^\top\Big|_{t=0}+g_0Y\Big)-w\mathcal{H}_H\,div_{TS}\Big(W^\top|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|-\langle W,\nu\rangle\nu_H^\top\Big)\Big\}\,\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{Y}:=\widetilde{W}^\top|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|-\langle\widetilde{W},\nu^t\rangle\nu^{t\,\top}_H$, $Y=\widetilde{Y}|_{t=0}$ and $g_0=(-\langle W^\perp,\nu\rangle\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{R}}+div_{TS}\,W^\top)$.

Proof. If $C_S\neq\emptyset$, then (i) implies the possibility to differentiate under the integral sign the function $f(t,x)$ defined by formula (17). This has been done by using the machinery of differential forms. This way we have obtained (35) by further assuming that $\mathcal{H}_H$ is constant. Nevertheless, we have to take care of the existence of the involved integrals. The integrability of the divergence-type terms has been already discussed at Step 0. We recall that if $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|^2}\in L^1(S;\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})$ it follows that all these terms are integrable. Clearly, the latter condition is automatically implied by (iii). Moreover, the condition $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|^2}\in L^2(S,\sigma^{n-1}_H)$ implies that function w^2 is admissible; see Definition 2.7. Hence, using formula (6), we see that the function $-w\,\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,w$ can be integrated by parts, as previously done. Furthermore, a rather tedious (but completely elementary) analysis shows that the same condition implies that each term in (35) is integrable over S. More precisely, the integral of each of these terms can be estimated, near the characteristic set C_S, by (a constant times) $\int_S \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|^4}\,\sigma_H^{n-1}$. (Note that these estimates follow basically from the same calculation performed in formula (11) of Remark 3.2. In particular, one uses the following

---

[8] Alternatively, we can assume the validity of (A_2) in Warning 4.4.

$$X|\mathcal{P}_H\nu| = \frac{\left\langle [\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{P}_H\nu)]^{Tr}\ \mathcal{P}_H\nu, X\right\rangle}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|}$$

for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{G})$. An analogous argument was made at Step 0). This achieves the proof. $\square$

Corollary 4.13. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 4.12 hold and let ϑ be compactly supported on S. If S is H-minimal, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_H = 0$, then*

$$II_S(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = \int_S \left\{ |grad_{HS}\, w|^2 - w^2 \left(\|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + \|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V} \left\langle (2\, grad_{HS}(\varpi_\alpha) - C(\varpi)\tau_\alpha^{TS}), C^\alpha\nu_H \right\rangle \right) \right\} \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

Proof. We just have to analyze the 2nd integral in formula (35). We already know that Y is admissible; see Corollary 4.7. Since g_0 is $\mathbf{C}^1$-smooth on S and $g_0 = 0$ on ∂S, we can conclude that $g_0 Y$ is admissible. Hence $\int_S div_{TS}\,(g_0 Y)\,\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1} = \int_{\partial S} \langle g_0 Y, \eta\rangle\,\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-2} = 0$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{H}_H = 0$ and $[\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{Y}]^\top\big|_{t=0} = 0$ on ∂S, we just have to show that $[\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{Y}]^\top\big|_{t=0}$ is admissible. More precisely, below we shall prove that $[\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{Y}]^\top\big|_{t=0}$ satisfies the assumptions in Proposition 2.11. Under our assumptions, this can be seen as follows. First, note that $\widetilde{W}$ is of class $\mathbf{C}^2$ on $]-\epsilon, \epsilon[\times S$ and that $\widetilde{Y} = \widetilde{W}^\top|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t| - \langle \widetilde{W}, \nu^t\rangle {\nu_H^t}^\top$ is of class $\mathbf{C}^1$ on $]-\epsilon, \epsilon[\times(S \setminus C_S)$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{Y}]^\top\big|_{t=0} &= \left(\nabla_{\widetilde{W}}\widetilde{Y} - \nabla_{\widetilde{Y}}\widetilde{W}\right)\Big|_{t=0}\\
&= \left[|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|\nabla_{\widetilde{W}}\widetilde{W}^\top + \widetilde{W}(|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|)\widetilde{W}^\top - \left(\widetilde{W}(\langle \widetilde{W}, \nu^t\rangle){\nu_H^t}^\top + \langle \widetilde{W}, \nu^t\rangle \nabla_{\widetilde{Y}}{\nu_H^t}^\top\right)\right]\Big|_{t=0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

We claim that $[\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{Y}]^\top\big|_{t=0} \in W^{1,2}_{comp}(S; TS)$. In fact, the first addend is Lipschitz, the second and third addends are in L^∞, and the fourth addend can be estimated by (a constant times) $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|}$. It is worth remarking that the estimate of the fourth addend relies on the fact that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}}\nu_H = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_H\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|}\right) = \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{P}_H\nu - \nu_H \otimes grad_{\mathcal{R}}|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|}.$$

In particular, under our assumptions, we have $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|} \in L^2(S; \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})$. Hence, $[\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{Y}]^\top\big|_{t=0} \in L^2(S; \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1})$. Continuing this argument, we easily see that each tangential derivative of $[\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{Y}]^\top\big|_{t=0}$ can be estimated by (a constant times) $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|^2}$ and the claim follows since $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|^2} \in L^2\left(S; \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{n-1}\right)$. $\square$

Notation 4.14. *For the sake of simplicity, we shall set:*

$$\mathcal{B}_{TS} := \underbrace{\|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + \|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}}_{=\|B_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}} + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V} \left\langle (2 grad_{HS}(\varpi_\alpha) - C(\varpi)\tau_\alpha^{TS}), C^\alpha\tau_1 \right\rangle. \tag{37}$$

We stress that, unlike the Euclidean case where $\mathcal{B}_{TS} := \|B_{\mathcal{R}}\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}$, it is not necessarily true that $\mathcal{B}_{TS} \geq 0$; an example of this fact can be found in Section 6.2; see Remark 6.10.

Remark 4.15 (Heisenberg group; see Example 1.8)**.** *Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be H-minimal and set $\nu_H^\circ := -C_H^{2n+1}\nu_H$. Then, we have*

$$\mathcal{B}_{TS} = \|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} - \left(2\frac{\partial\varpi}{\partial\nu_H^\circ} - \frac{n+1}{2}\varpi^2\right). \tag{38}$$

5. GEOMETRIC IDENTITIES FOR CONSTANT H-MEAN CURVATURE HYPERSURFACES

Lemma 5.1. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^2$ and let $\phi \in \mathbf{C}^2(\mathbb{G})$. Then we have*

$$\Delta_{HS}\,\phi = \Delta_H\,\phi + \mathcal{H}_H\,\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu_{_H}} - \langle \mathrm{Hess}_H\,\phi\,\nu_{_H}, \nu_{_H}\rangle$$

at each non-characteristic point $p \in S \setminus C_S$.

Proof. First, note that we can use the invariant definition of the Laplacian on vector bundles; see, for instance, [15]. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_H\,\phi &= \sum_{i\in I_H}\left(\tau_i^{(2)} - \nabla^H_{\tau_i}\tau_i\right)(\phi)\\ &= \tau_1^{(2)}(\phi) - \left(\nabla^H_{\tau_1}\tau_1\right)(\phi) + \sum_{i\in I_{HS}}\left(\left(\tau_i^{(2)} - \nabla^{HS}_{\tau_i}\tau_i\right)(\phi) - \left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\tau_i, \nu_{_H}\right\rangle\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu_{_H}}\right)\\ &= \tau_1^{(2)}(\phi) - \left(\nabla^H_{\tau_1}\tau_1\right)(\phi) + \Delta_{HS}\,\phi - \mathcal{H}_H\,\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu_{_H}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we claim that $\tau_1^{(2)}(\phi) - \left(\nabla^H_{\tau_1}\tau_1\right)(\phi) = \langle\mathrm{Hess}_H(\phi)\nu_{_H}, \nu_{_H}\rangle$. To prove this claim, set $\tau_1 = \sum_{i\in I_H} A^1_i X_i$ and compute

$$\tau_1^{(2)}(\phi) = \sum_{i\in I_H}\left(\tau_1(A^1_i X_i(\phi))\right) = \sum_{i,j\in I_H}\left(\tau_1(A^1_i)X_i(\phi) + A^1_i A^1_j X_j(X_i(\phi))\right).$$

Since $\nabla^H_{\tau_1}\tau_1 = \sum_{i,j\in I_H}\left(\tau_1(A^1_i)X_i + A^1_i A^1_j \underbrace{\nabla^H_{X_i}X_j}_{=0}\right)$, we get that

$$\tau_1^{(2)}(\phi) - \left(\nabla^H_{\tau_1}\tau_1\right)(\phi) = \sum_{i,j\in I_H} A^1_i A^1_j X_j(X_i(\phi)) = \langle\mathrm{Hess}_H(\phi)\nu_{_H}, \nu_{_H}\rangle,$$

as wished. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ non-characteristic hypersurface of constant horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_H$. Then, the following identities hold:*

- (i) $\sum_{i\in I_{HS}}\left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \nu_{_H}\right\rangle = -\|B_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}$;
- (ii) $\sum_{i\in I_{HS}}\left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \tau_k\right\rangle = -\Big(\left\langle\nabla^H_{\nu_{_H}}\nu_{_H}, C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_k\right\rangle + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left\langle C^\alpha_H\,grad_{HS}\,\varpi_\alpha, \tau_k\right\rangle + \mathcal{H}_H\,\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_{_H}, \tau_k\rangle - B_H(C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_{_H}, \tau_k)\Big)$ $\forall\ k \in I_{HS}$.

Proof. Throughout this proof, we use an adapted frame as in Lemma 3.9. Fix a point $p \in S$.
Proof of (i). Since $\langle\nu_{_H}, \nu_{_H}\rangle = 1$ we get that $\left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \nu_{_H}\right\rangle = 0\ \forall\ i \in I_{HS}$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i\in I_{HS}}\left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \nu_{_H}\right\rangle &= -\sum_{i\in I_{HS}}\left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}\right\rangle\\ = -\sum_{i,j,k\in I_{HS}}\left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \tau_j\right\rangle\left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \tau_k\right\rangle\left\langle\tau_j, \tau_k\right\rangle &= -\sum_{i,j\in I_{HS}}\left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \tau_j\right\rangle^2 = -\|B_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (ii). Since $\langle\nu_{_H}, \tau_k\rangle = 0$ for any $k \in I_{HS}$ we get that $\left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \tau_k\right\rangle = -\left\langle\nu_{_H}, \nabla^H_{\tau_i}\tau_k\right\rangle$ for every $i \in I_{HS}$. Therefore

$$\left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \tau_k\right\rangle + \left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \nabla^H_{\tau_i}\tau_k\right\rangle = -\left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \nabla^H_{\tau_i}\tau_k\right\rangle - \left\langle\nu_{_H}, \nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\tau_k\right\rangle.$$

Note that $\nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H} \in HS$ and that, by our choice of the moving frame, we have $\left(\nabla^{HS}_{\tau_i}\tau_k\right)(p) = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
A_i := \left\langle \nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\nu_{_H}, \tau_k \right\rangle &= -\left\langle \nu_{_H}, \nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\tau_k \right\rangle \\
&= -\left\langle \nu_{_H}, \nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\left(\nabla^{H}_{\tau_k}\tau_i + [\tau_i,\tau_k]_{H}\right)\right\rangle \\
&= -\left\langle \nu_{_H}, \nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\nabla^{H}_{\tau_k}\tau_i \right\rangle - \left\langle \nu_{_H}, \nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\left(\langle [\tau_i,\tau_k]_{H}, \nu_{_H}\rangle \nu_{_H}\right)\right\rangle \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.9)} \\
&= -\left\langle \nu_{_H}, \nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\nabla^{H}_{\tau_k}\tau_i \right\rangle - \tau_i\left(\langle [\tau_i,\tau_k]_{H}, \nu_{_H}\rangle\right) \qquad \forall\ i,k \in I_{HS}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Now since $\langle [\tau_i,\tau_k]_{H}, \nu_{\mathcal{R}}\rangle = \langle [\tau_i,\tau_k], \nu_{\mathcal{R}}\rangle = 0$, we get that

$$\langle [\tau_i,\tau_k], \nu_{_H}\rangle = -\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\varpi_\alpha \langle [\tau_i,\tau_k], \tau_\alpha\rangle = -\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\varpi_\alpha C^{\alpha}_{ik} = \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\varpi_\alpha \langle C^{\alpha}_{H}\tau_i, \tau_k\rangle = \langle C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_i, \tau_k\rangle \quad \forall\ i,k \in I_{HS}\,.$$

Hence $A_i = -\left\langle \nu_{_H}, \nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\nabla^{H}_{\tau_k}\tau_i\right\rangle - \tau_i\left(\langle C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_i, \tau_k\rangle\right)$. Using $\mathrm{R}_{H} = 0$ (see Remark 1.4 in Section 1.1) yields

$$\left\langle \nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\nabla^{H}_{\tau_k}\tau_i, \nu_{_H}\right\rangle = \left\langle \nabla^{H}_{\tau_k}\nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\tau_i, \nu_{_H}\right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla^{H}_{[\tau_i,\tau_k]_H}\tau_i, \nu_{_H}\right\rangle \qquad \forall\ i,k \in I_{HS}\,..$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i\in I_{HS}} A_i = \underbrace{-\left\langle \nu_{_H}, \nabla^{H}_{\tau_k}\left(\sum_{i\in I_{HS}}\nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\tau_i\right)\right\rangle}_{=:A} - \sum_{i\in I_{HS}}\left(\left\langle \nabla^{H}_{[\tau_i,\tau_k]_H}\tau_i, \nu_{_H}\right\rangle + \tau_i\left(\langle C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_i, \tau_k\rangle\right)\right).$$

We claim that $A = 0$ at p. Indeed, by hypothesis, $\mathcal{H}_H = \left\langle \sum_{i\in I_{HS}}\nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\tau_i, \nu_{_H}\right\rangle$ is constant. Hence we get that $\left\langle \sum_{i\in I_{HS}}\nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\tau_i, \nabla^{H}_{\tau_k}\nu_{_H}\right\rangle = 0$ at p. Furthermore, since at $p \in S$ one has $[\tau_i,\tau_k]_{H} = \langle C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_i, \tau_k\rangle\,\nu_{_H}$ $\forall\ i,k \in I_{HS}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i\in I_{HS}} A_i &= \sum_{i\in I_{HS}}\left(\langle C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_i, \tau_k\rangle \left\langle \nabla^{H}_{\nu_{_H}}\nu_{_H}, \tau_i\right\rangle - \tau_i\left(\langle C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_i, \tau_k\rangle\right)\right) \\
&= -\left(\left\langle \nabla^{H}_{\nu_{_H}}\nu_{_H}, C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_k\right\rangle + \sum_{i\in I_{HS}}\tau_i\left(\langle C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_i, \tau_k\rangle\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, (ii) will follow from the next calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_i\left(\langle C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_i, \tau_k\rangle\right) &= \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left(\tau_i(\varpi_\alpha)\langle C^{\alpha}_{H}\tau_i, \tau_k\rangle + \varpi_\alpha\left(\left\langle C^{\alpha}_{H}\nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\tau_i, \tau_k\right\rangle + \left\langle C^{\alpha}_{H}\tau_i, \nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\tau_k\right\rangle\right)\right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left(\tau_i(\varpi_\alpha)\langle C^{\alpha}_{H}\tau_i, \tau_k\rangle + \varpi_\alpha\left(-\left\langle \nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\tau_i, \nu_{_H}\right\rangle \langle C^{\alpha}_{H}\tau_k, \nu_{_H}\rangle + \langle C^{\alpha}_{H}\tau_i, \nu_{_H}\rangle \left\langle \nabla^{H}_{\tau_i}\tau_k, \nu_{_H}\right\rangle\right)\right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\tau_i(\varpi_\alpha)\langle C^{\alpha}_{H}\tau_i, \tau_k\rangle + \mathcal{H}_H\,\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_{_H}, \tau_k\rangle - B_H(C_H(\varpi_{H_2}), \tau_k).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Using (i) of Lemma 5.2, yields the following “folklore” result:

Proposition 5.3. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ hypersurface of constant horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_H$. Then, at each non-characteristic point $p \in S \setminus C_S$, we have:*

(i) $\left\langle \overrightarrow{\Delta_{HS}}\,\nu_{_H}, \nu_{_H}\right\rangle = -\|B_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}$;

(ii) $\overrightarrow{\Delta_{HS}}\,x_H = \mathcal{H}_H\,\nu_{_H}$.

Below we shall compute the HS-laplacian $\mathcal{L}_{HS}$ of the function $f_H := \langle V_H, \nu_{_H}\rangle$, where $V_H \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$ is a constant horizontal left invariant vector field.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be $\mathbf{C}^2$ hypersurface of constant horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_H$. Then*

$$-\mathcal{L}_{HS}\, f_H = f_H \|B_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + \left\langle \nabla^H_{\nu_H}\nu_H, C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})V_{HS}\right\rangle + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left\langle C^\alpha_H\, grad_{HS}\,\varpi_\alpha, V_{HS}\right\rangle + \mathcal{H}_H\left\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_H, V_{HS}\right\rangle$$

at each non-characteristic point $p \in S \setminus C_S$.

Proof. Fix a point $p \in S \setminus C_S$ and choose a moving frame centered at p; see Lemma 3.9. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{HS}\, f_H &= \sum_{i\in I_{HS}} \tau_i\tau_i(\langle V_H, \nu_H\rangle) = \sum_{i\in I_{HS}} \tau_i\left(\left\langle V_H, \nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_H\right\rangle\right) = \sum_{i\in I_{HS}}\left(\left\langle V_H, \nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nabla^H_{\tau_i}\nu_H\right\rangle\right)\\
&= -\Bigg(f_H\|B_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + \left\langle \nabla^H_{\nu_H}\nu_H, C_{HS}(\varpi_{H_2})V_{HS}\right\rangle + \sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\left\langle C^\alpha_H\, grad_{HS}\,\varpi_\alpha, V_{HS}\right\rangle\\
&\quad +\mathcal{H}_H\left\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_H, V_{HS}\right\rangle - B_H(C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_H, V_{HS})\Bigg),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (i) and (ii) of Lemma 5.2. The thesis follows since

$$B_H(C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_H, V_{HS}) = -\left\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_H, grad_{HS}\, f_H\right\rangle.$$

□

A simple consequence of this lemma, at least from a "formal" point of view, is that, in general, the function f_H cannot be an eigenfunction of a linear eigenvalue problem $\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varphi + \lambda\mathcal{B}\varphi = 0$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a given smooth function on $S \setminus C_S$. This is a big difference compared with the Euclidean case where, for any constant vector field $V \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the function $f = \langle V, \nu\rangle$ is always a solution to the linear equation $\Delta_{TS}\,\varphi + \|B_{\mathcal{R}}\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}\,\varphi = 0$. Here Δ_{TS} is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on S and $B_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the 2nd fundamental form of S. This equation says that V is a *Killing field* of any constant mean curvature hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$; see [40]. Nevertheless, we have the following:

Lemma 5.5. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ hypersurface of constant horizontal mean curvature. Then*

$$-\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varpi_\alpha = \varpi_\alpha\mathcal{B}_{TS} \qquad \forall\ \alpha \in I_V$$

at each non-characteristic point $p \in S \setminus C_S$.

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, we shall assume that f is a NDF; see Definition 3.11. Let $\underline{\tau}$ be an adapted moving frame along S. We have $grad_H\, f = \tau_1$ (and hence $\tau_1(f) = 1$) and $\tau_\alpha f = \varpi_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in I_V$. We stress that $\frac{\partial\varpi_\alpha}{\partial\tau_1} = X_\alpha\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\tau_1}\right) = X_\alpha(1) = 0$. Using Lemma 5.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{HS}\,\varpi_\alpha &= \Delta_H\,\varpi_\alpha - \langle \mathrm{Hess}_H(\varpi_\alpha)\tau_1, \tau_1\rangle\\
&= \Delta_H\,(\tau_\alpha f) - \langle \mathrm{Hess}_H\,(\tau_\alpha f)\,\tau_1, \tau_1\rangle\\
&= \tau_\alpha\,(\Delta_H(f)) - \langle \nabla_{\tau_\alpha}\,(\mathrm{Hess}_H(f))\,\tau_1, \tau_1\rangle\\
&= \varpi_\alpha\tau_1\,(\Delta_H(f)) - \langle \nabla_{\tau_\alpha}\,(\mathrm{Hess}_H(f))\,\tau_1, \tau_1\rangle\\
&= -\varpi_\alpha\tau_1\,(\mathcal{H}_H) - \langle \nabla_{\tau_\alpha}\,(\mathcal{J}_H\tau_1)\,\tau_1, \tau_1\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle(\mathcal{J}_H\tau_1)\,\tau_1, \tau_1\rangle = 0$, we get that $\langle\nabla_{\tau_\alpha}\,((\mathcal{J}_H\tau_1)\,\tau_1)\,, \tau_1\rangle = -\langle(\mathcal{J}_H\tau_1)\,\tau_1, \nabla_{\tau_\alpha}\tau_1\rangle$ and hence

$$\langle(\mathcal{J}_H\tau_1)\,\nabla_{\tau_\alpha}\tau_1, \tau_1\rangle + \langle\nabla_{\tau_\alpha}\,(\mathcal{J}_H\tau_1)\,\tau_1, \tau_1\rangle = -\langle(\mathcal{J}_H\tau_1)\,\tau_1, \nabla_{\tau_\alpha}\tau_1\rangle \qquad \forall\ \alpha \in I_V.$$

But since $\langle(\mathcal{J}_H\tau_1)\,\nabla_{\tau_\alpha}\tau_1, \tau_1\rangle = 0$, we obtain

$$\langle\nabla_{\tau_\alpha}\,(\mathcal{J}_H\tau_1)\,\tau_1, \tau_1\rangle = -\langle(\mathcal{J}_H\tau_1)\,\tau_1, \nabla_{\tau_\alpha}\tau_1\rangle = -\left\langle \nabla^H_{\tau_1}\tau_1, grad_H\,\varpi_\alpha\right\rangle.$$

By using (i) of Lemma 3.13, it follows that $\nabla^H_{\tau_1}\tau_1 = -C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1$ and so, by adding the quantity $\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1, grad_{HS}\,\varpi_\alpha\rangle$, we finally get the identity $\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varpi_\alpha = -\varpi_\alpha\tau_1\,(\mathcal{H}_H)$. The quantity $\tau_1\,(\mathcal{H}_H)$ can be obtained by repeating the calculations made in the proof of the 2nd variation formula. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\tau_1\,(\mathcal{H}_H) \\ & = div_{HS}\,\left(C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1\right) - \left|C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\tau_1\right|^2 + \|A_H\|^2_{Gr} - \|S_H\|^2_{Gr} + \sum_{j\in I_{HS}\ \alpha\in I_V} \left\langle C^\alpha_H\tau_1, \tau_j\right\rangle \left(\left\langle C(\varpi)\tau_\alpha, \tau_j\right\rangle - \tau_j(\varpi_\alpha)\right) \\ & = -\|A_H\|^2_{Gr} - \|S_H\|^2_{Gr} - \sum_{\alpha\in I_V} \langle (2grad_{HS}\,(\varpi_\alpha) - C(\varpi)\tau^{TS}_\alpha)\,, C^\alpha\tau_1\rangle \\ & = -\mathcal{B}_{TS}\,. \end{aligned}$$

□

In Section 6.1, just as an exercise, we will reprove this identity for the class of non-vertical hyperplanes

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha'} := \left\{ p \equiv exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j\right) \in \mathbb{G} : x_{\alpha'} = 0 \right\},$$

where $\alpha' \in I_V$; see Definition 1.11. For the sake of simplicity, this will be done only for 2-step Carnot groups. We recall that these hyperplanes are very different from the vertical ones and, for instance, they turn out to be characteristic at the identity $0 \in \mathbb{G}$. We now state an immediate consequence of the previous lemma. To this aim, let $V \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{G})$ be a constant left invariant vector field.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ hypersurface of constant horizontal mean curvature. Then the function $f_V := \langle V, \varpi\rangle$ satisfies the equation $-\mathcal{L}_{HS}\, f_V = f_V \mathcal{B}_{TS}$ at each non-characteristic point of S.*

6. STABILITY OF H-MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES

Definition 6.1 (Stability)**.** *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k-step Carnot group and let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a H-minimal hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^3$.*

- (S_1) *If $C_S = \emptyset$, we say that S is* stable *if $II_S(\sigma^{n-1}_H) \geq 0$ for every $\mathbf{C}^3$-smooth compactly supported variation $\vartheta_t :]-\epsilon,\epsilon[\times S \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$.*
- (S_2) *If $C_S \neq \emptyset$, we further assume that $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|^2} \in L^2(S, \sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}})$. Then, we say that S is* stable *if $II_S(\sigma^{n-1}_H) \geq 0$ for every $\mathbf{C}^3$-smooth compactly supported variation $\vartheta_t :]-\epsilon,\epsilon[\times S \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ for which there exists $h \in L^1\left(\mathcal{U}; \sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}}\right)$ such that $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_{H_t}\nu^t|} \leq h$ for every $t \in]-\epsilon,\epsilon[$.*

Remark 6.2. *We shall sometimes say that S is* strictly stable *when the stability inequality is strict. If $C_S \neq \emptyset$, but we use only compactly supported variations on $S^* := S \setminus C_S$, then (S_1) applies to any non-characteristic domain $\Omega \Subset S^*$.*

Lemma 6.3. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be as in Definition 6.1 and let us consider the following* linear eigenvalue problem, *i.e.*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varphi + \lambda\,\mathcal{B}_{TS}\,\varphi = 0 & on\ S \\ \varphi = 0 & on\ \partial S. \end{cases}$$

Under the previous assumptions, a sufficient condition for stability of S is that the first (non-trivial) eigenvalue λ_1 of this problem is greater than or equal to 1*; see Notation 4.14.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the horizontal Green formula (6); see Corollary 2.8.

□

Lemma 6.4. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^2$. Let $\Omega \subset S^* = S \setminus C_S$ be a bounded non-characteristic domain and let $q \in \mathbf{C}(\Omega)$. If there exists a smooth function $\psi > 0$ on Ω satisfying the equation $\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\psi = q\psi$, then*

$$\int_\Omega \left(|grad_{HS}\,\varphi|^2 + q\varphi^2\right)\sigma^{n-1}_H \geq 0 \tag{39}$$

for all smooth function φ compactly supported on Ω.

This lemma generalizes a well-known result in the Riemannian setting; see [31].

Proof of Lemma 6.4. If $\psi > 0$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\psi = q\psi$ on Ω, let us define a new function $\phi := log\,\psi$. By an elementary calculation we see that $\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\phi = q - |grad_{HS}\,\phi|^2$. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\phi &= div_{HS}\,\left(grad_{HS}\,\phi\right) + \langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_H, grad_{HS}\,\phi\rangle\\ &= div_{HS}\left(\frac{grad_{HS}\,\psi}{\psi}\right) + \left\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_H, \frac{grad_{HS}\,\psi}{\psi}\right\rangle\\ &= \left(\frac{\Delta_{HS}\,\psi}{\psi}\left\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_H, \frac{grad_{HS}\,\psi}{\psi}\right\rangle\right) - \frac{|grad_{HS}\,\psi|^2}{\psi^2}\\ &= \frac{\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\psi}{\psi} - |grad_{HS}\,\phi|^2\\ &= q - |grad_{HS}\,\phi|^2.\end{aligned}$$

So let φ be a smooth function with compact support on Ω. Multiplying by $-\varphi^2$ both sides of this equation and integrating by parts, yields

$$-\int_{\Omega}\varphi^2\left(q - |grad_{HS}\,\phi|^2\right)\sigma_H^{n-1} = -\int_{\Omega}\varphi^2\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\phi\,\sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_{\Omega}2\varphi\,\langle grad_{HS}\,\varphi, grad_{HS}\,\phi\rangle\,\sigma_H^{n-1}, \tag{40}$$

where we have used Corollary 2.8. Note that

$$2|\varphi\,\langle grad_{HS}\,\varphi, grad_{HS}\,\phi\rangle\,| \leq 2|\varphi||grad_{HS}\,\varphi||grad_{HS}\,\phi| \leq |\varphi|^2|grad_{HS}\,\phi|^2 + |grad_{HS}\,\varphi|^2. \tag{41}$$

Hence, (39) follows inserting (41) into (40) and canceling the terms $\int_{\Omega}\varphi^2|grad_{HS}\,\phi|^2\,\sigma_H^{n-1}$. □

As a consequence of Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 6.4, we infer an interesting condition for stability.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a H-minimal hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^3$. If there exists $\alpha \in I_V$ such that either $\varpi_\alpha > 0$ or $\varpi_\alpha < 0$ on S, then each non-characteristic domain $\Omega \subset S^*$ turns out to be stable.*

Proof. By applying Lemma 6.4 to the function ϖ_α we immediately get the stability inequality

$$II_S(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) \geq 0$$

for every non-zero compactly supported variation ϑ_t of S. □

We have the following reformulations of Theorem 6.5:

Corollary 6.6. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a H-minimal hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^3$. Let $V \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{G})$ be a constant left invariant vector field and set $f_V = \langle V, \varpi\rangle$. If either $f_V > 0$ or $f_V < 0$, then each non-characteristic domain $\Omega \subset S^*$ is stable.*

Corollary 6.7. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a complete H-minimal hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^3$. If S is a graph with respect to a given vertical direction, then each non-characteristic domain $\Omega \subset S^*$ is stable.*

Below we shall study some (more or less simple) examples in order to illustrate some of our results.

6.1. **Examples.**

Our first example, which is that of *vertical hyperplanes,* is the simplest one and, to the best of our knowledge, the only known in literature outside the Heisenberg group setting. Roughly speaking, vertical hyperplanes are level-sets of linear homogeneous polynomial having (homogeneous) degree 1, which are ideals of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

We claim that they are (strictly) stable hypersurfaces. This immediately follows from the fact that $\mathcal{B}_{TS} = 0$. Hence, for any regular bounded domain $\mathcal{U}$ contained on a vertical hyperplane $\mathcal{I}$, we have $II_{\mathcal{U}}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = \int_{\mathcal{U}}|grad_{HS}\,w|^2\,\sigma_H^{n-1} \geq 0$, with equality if, and only if, $w = 0$.

Corollary 6.8. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k-step Carnot group. A vertical hyperplane is a $\mathbf{C}^\infty$-smooth non-characteristic strictly stable H-minimal hypersurface.*

Now we analyze a completely different family of hyperplanes. From an intrinsic point of view, they are homogeneous “cones”, which turn out to be characteristic at a single point. For the sake of simplicity, we just consider the case of 2-step Carnot groups. We have $\mathfrak{g} = H \oplus V$ ($\mathrm{dim}H = h$, $\mathrm{dim}V = n - h$). Let us assume that

$$X_i(x) := \mathrm{e}_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in I_V} \langle C_H^{\alpha}\mathrm{e}_i, x_H\rangle\, \mathrm{e}_\alpha, \qquad X_\alpha = \mathrm{e}_\alpha$$

for every $i \in I_H = \{1, ..., h\}$ and every $\alpha \in I_V = \{h + 1, ..., n\}$, where $\mathrm{e}_j = (0, ..., \underbrace{1}_{j-thplace}, ...0)$, $j = 1..., n$, is the j-th vector of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathfrak{g}$ and $x_H \equiv (x_1, ..., x_h)$ is the horizontal position vector. As usual, we identify vector fields and differential operators.

Fix $\alpha' \in I_V$ and consider the *non-vertical hyperplane* $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha'} := \left\{x = exp\left(\sum_j x_j\right) \in \mathbb{G} : x_{\alpha'} = 0\right\}$. We have $grad_H\, x_{\alpha'} = -\frac{1}{2}C_H^{\alpha'} x_H$ and so $\nu_H = \frac{-C_H^{\alpha'} x_H}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|}$. Moreover, $\varpi_\beta = 0$ for all $\beta \neq \alpha'$ and $\varpi_{\alpha'} = \frac{2}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|}$. Since

$$div_H\left(C_H^{\alpha'} x_H\right) = \sum_{j\in I_H}\left\langle \nabla_{X_j} C_H^{\alpha'} x_H, X_j\right\rangle = \sum_{j\in I_H}\left\langle C_H^{\alpha'} X_j, X_j\right\rangle = 0$$

and

$$\left\langle grad_H\left(\frac{1}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|}\right), C_H^{\alpha'} x_H\right\rangle = -\left\langle \frac{grad_H|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|^2}, C_H^{\alpha'} x_H\right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{C_H^{\alpha'}\nu_H}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|}, \nu_H\right\rangle = 0,$$

it follows that $\mathcal{H}_H = -div_H\,\nu_H = 0$, i.e. $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha'}$ is *H-minimal*. The above calculation also shows that $grad_H\left(|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|\right) = C_H^{\alpha'}\nu_H$. Furthermore, we easily get that

$$-\mathcal{J}_H\,\nu_H = \frac{C_H^{\alpha'} + \nu_H \otimes C_H^{\alpha'}\nu_H}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|},$$

which, in turn, implies

$$B_H(\tau_i, \tau_j) = \left\langle \frac{C_{HS}^{\alpha'}}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|}\tau_i, \tau_j\right\rangle = A_H(\tau_i, \tau_j) \qquad \forall\ i, j \in I_{HS}\,.$$

Therefore $S_H = \mathbf{0}_H$ (i.e. the 0-matrix on H) and $\|B_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} = \|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} = \frac{\varpi^2_{\alpha'}\|C_{HS}^{\alpha'}\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}}{4}$. It remains to compute the quantity $\Upsilon := -\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\langle\left(2grad_{HS}\left(\varpi_\alpha\right) - C(\varpi)\tau_\alpha^{\mathit{TS}}\right), C^\alpha\tau_1\rangle$; see formula (37). Since we are in a 2-step group, we have

$$\Upsilon = -\sum_{\alpha\in I_V}\langle\left(2grad_{HS}\left(\varpi_\alpha\right) + \varpi_\alpha C(\varpi)\tau_1\right), C^\alpha\tau_1\rangle\,.$$

From the previous calculations, it follows that $\Upsilon = 0$ and so $\mathcal{B}_{TS} = \|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}$. In other words, we have

$$II_{\mathcal{U}}(W, \sigma^{n-1}_H) = \int_{\mathcal{U}}\left(|grad_{HS}\, w|^2 - w^2\|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}\right)\sigma^{n-1}_H = \int_{\mathcal{U}}\left(|grad_{HS}\, w|^2 - w^2\frac{\varpi^2_{\alpha'}\|C_{HS}^{\alpha'}\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}}{4}\right)\sigma^{n-1}_H$$

for any non-characteristic bounded domain $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\alpha'}(\equiv S)$, where $\sigma^{n-1}_H \llcorner \mathcal{I}_{\alpha'} = \frac{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|}{2}\, d\mathcal{L}^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Eu}} \llcorner \mathcal{I}_{\alpha'}$ and

$$d\mathcal{L}^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Eu}} = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge ... \wedge ... \wedge \widehat{dx_{\alpha'}} \wedge ... \wedge dx_n.$$

It goes without saying that the previous formula holds true near the characteristic set only under the assumptions made in Corollary 4.13. In particular, we have to check that $\int_{\mathcal{U}}\frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H\,\nu|^4}\,\sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}} < +\infty$, which is clearly equivalent to the next condition:

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}}\frac{1}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|^4}\, d\mathcal{L}^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Eu}} \llcorner \mathcal{I}_{\alpha'} < +\infty. \tag{42}$$

Two remarks are in order:

- a necessary condition for the validity of (42) is that the dimension of H is ≥ 5, i.e.

$$h = \dim H \geq 5; \tag{43}$$

- in the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$, the previous analysis reduces to the case of the horizontal hyperplane $\{p = exp(z,t) \in \mathbb{H}^n : t = 0\}$ and, in this case, (43) is also sufficient for (42) to hold.

Now let us compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{HS}\left(\frac{1}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|}\right) &= -div_{HS}\left(\frac{C_H^{\alpha'}\nu_H}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|^2}\right)\\
&= \frac{2|C_H^{\alpha'}\nu_H|^2}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|^3} - \frac{div_{HS}(C_H^{\alpha'}\nu_H)}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|^2}\\
&= \frac{2|C_H^{\alpha'}\nu_H|^2}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|^3} + \frac{\sum_{j,k\in I_{HS}}\left\langle \nabla^H_{\tau_j}\tau_1, \tau_k\right\rangle\left\langle C_H^{\alpha'}\tau_j, \tau_k\right\rangle}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|^2}\\
&= \frac{2|C_H^{\alpha'}\nu_H|^2 - \|C_{HS}^{\alpha'}\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this computation and the very definition of $\mathcal{L}_{HS}$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_{HS}\left(\frac{1}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|}\right) = \Delta_{HS}\left(\frac{1}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|}\right) + \left\langle C_H(\varpi_{H_2})\nu_H, grad_{HS}\left(\frac{1}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|}\right)\right\rangle = -\frac{\|C_{HS}^{\alpha'}\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}}{|C_H^{\alpha'} x_H|^3},$$

which is equivalent to the equation $\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varpi_{\alpha'} = -\varpi_{\alpha'}\|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}$, as predicated by Lemma 5.5.

The previous discussion is summarized in the following:

Corollary 6.9. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a 2-step Carnot group and let $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha'}$ be a horizontal hyperplane passing through $0 \in \mathbb{G}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha'}$ is a $\mathbf{C}^\infty$-smooth H-minimal hypersurface. The only characteristic point of $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha'}$ is the identity $0 \in \mathbb{G}$. Furthermore, any bounded domain $\mathcal{U} \Subset \mathcal{I}_{\alpha'} \setminus \{0\}$ turns out to be strictly stable.*

6.2. **An example in the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$.** For the notation used in this section we refer the reader to Example 1.5 and Example 1.8. We recall that any point $p \in \mathbb{H}^n$ is identified with $(z,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, where $z = (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, ..., x_n, y_n)$. We use the following further notation:

$$\overline{v}^{1,0} := (v_1, 0, v_2, 0, ..., v_n, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \qquad \overline{v}^{0,1} := (0, v_1, 0, v_2, 0, ..., 0, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \qquad \forall\ v = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Using this notation yields $z = \overline{x}^{1,0} + \overline{y}^{0,1} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In the sequel, we shall study the following *hyperbolic paraboloid:*

$$S := \left\{p \equiv (z,t) \in \mathbb{H}^n : t = \frac{\left\|\overline{x}^{1,0}\right\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \left\|\overline{y}^{1,0}\right\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}{4}\right\}, \tag{44}$$

First, note that $grad_H t = \frac{z^\circ}{2}$, where $z^\circ := -C_H^{2n+1}z$. Furthermore, a simple calculation shows that $grad_H\left(\frac{\|\overline{x}^{1,0}\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \|\overline{y}^{1,0}\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{x}^{1,0} - \overline{y}^{0,1}\right)$ and hence $\nu_H = \frac{-\overline{v}^{1,0} + \overline{v}^{0,1}}{|-\overline{v}^{1,0} + \overline{v}^{0,1}|}$, where we have set $v = x + y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore

$$\nu_H = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\frac{-\overline{v}^{1,0} + \overline{v}^{0,1}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + 2\langle x, y\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}}}\right), \qquad \nu_H^\circ = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\overline{v}^{1,0} + \overline{v}^{0,1}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + 2\langle x, y\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}}}\right),$$

where $\sqrt{\rho^2 + 2\langle x, y\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}} = \|x + y\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $\rho := \sqrt{\|x\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}$. Clearly, the characteristic set C_S of S is the set of all points $p \equiv (z,t) \in S$ such that $\overline{x + y}^{1,0} = \overline{x + y}^{0,1} = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Hence $p \equiv (z,t) \in C_S$ if, and only if $x_i = -y_i$ for every $i = 1, ..., n$. Since $X_i\left(\frac{1}{\|x+y\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}}\right) = Y_i\left(\frac{1}{\|x+y\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}}\right)$, we easily get that $div_H\,\nu_H = 0$, i.e. S is *H-minimal.*

We have $\varpi = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\|x+y\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}}$ and $\frac{\partial \varpi}{\partial \nu^{\circ}_{H}} = \frac{2}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}$. In order to calculate the horizontal 2nd fundamental form B_H (and some of its invariants) we need the horizontal Jacobian matrix $\mathcal{J}_H \nu_H =: \left[a_{ij}\right]_{i,j\in I_H}$ of the H-normal ν_H. For the sake of simplicity, we treat the case $n = 2$, which corresponds to the 2nd Heisenberg group. The general case is completely analogous. We have

- $a := a_{11} = a_{12} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^2} - (x_1+y_1)^2}{\|x+y\|^3_{\mathbb{R}^2}}\right), \quad b := a_{13} = a_{14} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\frac{-(x_1+y_1)(x_2+y_2)}{\|x+y\|^3_{\mathbb{R}^2}}\right)$;
- $a_{2j} = -a_{1j}$ for every $j = 1, ..., 4$;
- $a_{31} = a_{32} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\frac{-(x_1+y_1)(x_2+y_2)}{\|x+y\|^3_{\mathbb{R}^2}}\right), \quad c := a_{33} = a_{34} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^2} - (x_2+y_2)^2}{\|x+y\|^3_{\mathbb{R}^2}}\right)$;
- $a_{4j} = -a_{3j}$ for every $j = 1, ..., 4$.

Equivalently, $\mathcal{J}_H \nu_H = \begin{bmatrix} a & a & b & b \\ -a & -a & -b & -b \\ b & b & c & c \\ -b & -b & -c & -c \end{bmatrix}$. It follows that $\nu_H \in \mathrm{K}er\mathcal{J}_H \nu_H$ and hence $B_H = -\mathcal{J}_H \nu_H$. By definition, we have $S_H = -\left(\frac{\mathcal{J}_H \nu_H + (\mathcal{J}_H \nu_H)^{\mathrm{Tr}}}{2}\right) = -\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 & -b \\ b & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & -b & 0 & -c \end{bmatrix}$. So if $n = 2$, we have

$$\|B_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} = 4\left(a^2 + 2b^2 + c^2\right) = \frac{2}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}, \qquad \|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} = 2\left(a^2 + 2b^2 + c^2\right) = \frac{1}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}} = \|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}}.$$

In the general case, an analogous calculation gives $\|B_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} = \frac{2(n-1)}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}$ and $\|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} = \|A_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} = \frac{n-1}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}$. Therefore, using (38) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{TS} &= \|S_H\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} - \left(2\frac{\partial \varpi}{\partial \nu^{\circ}_{H}} - \frac{n+1}{2}\varpi^2\right) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}} - \left(\frac{4}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}} - \frac{n+1}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}\right) \\ &= \frac{2(n-2)}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.10 (B_{TS} can be negative!)**.** *If $n = 1$, then $B_{TS} < 0$ for any non-characteristic domain $\mathcal{U} \subset S$.*

The previous calculation implies that

$$II_{\mathcal{U}}(W, \sigma^{2n}_{H}) = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left(|grad_{HS}\, w|^2 - w^2 \frac{2(n-2)}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}\right) \sigma^{2n}_{H}, \tag{45}$$

for any non-characteristic bounded domain $\mathcal{U} \subset S$, where $\sigma^{2n}_{H} = \frac{\|x+y\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}}{\sqrt{2}}\, dz$ and we have set

$$dz = dx_1 \wedge dy_1 \wedge ... \wedge dx_n \wedge dy_n.$$

Remark 6.11 (Failure of $\int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|^4}\, \sigma^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}} < +\infty$ for characteristic domains)**.** *In order to apply the previous 2nd variation formula for a characteristic domain $\mathcal{U} \subset S$, we need (at least) to check that*

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{\|x+y\|^4_{\mathbb{R}^n}}\, d\mathcal{L}^{2n}_{\mathrm{Eu}} < +\infty. \tag{46}$$

However, in general, this condition fails to hold if $C_{\mathcal{U}} \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 5.1 says $\Delta_{HS}\,\varpi = \Delta_H\,\varpi - \langle \mathrm{Hess}_H\,\varpi\,\nu_{_H}, \nu_{_H}\rangle$. Since $grad_H\,\varpi = -\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\overline{(x+y)}^{1,0}+\overline{(x+y)}^{0,1}}{\|x+y\|^3_{\mathbb{R}^n}}\right)$, we easily get that $\Delta_H\,\varpi = -\varpi\frac{(2n-3)}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}$. Furthermore

$$\langle \mathrm{Hess}_H\,\varpi\,\nu_{_H}, \nu_{_H}\rangle = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix} \nu_{_H}, \nu_{_H} \right\rangle = -\frac{\varpi}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}.$$

All together, we have shown that

$$\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varpi = \Delta_{HS}\,\varpi - \varpi\frac{\partial\varpi}{\partial\nu^{\circ}_{_H}} = -\varpi\frac{2(n-2)}{\|x+y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}},$$

which illustrates the content of Lemma 5.5.

Corollary 6.12. *Let* $S = \left\{p \equiv (z,t) \in \mathbb{H}^n \,:\, t = \frac{\|\overline{x}^{1,0}\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \|\overline{y}^{1,0}\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}}{4}\right\}$, *where* $z := \overline{x}^{1,0} + \overline{y}^{0,1} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. *Then S turns out to be a* $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}$*-smooth H-minimal hypersurface. Furthermore, one has*

$$C_S = \{p = exp\,(z,t) \in S \,:\, x_i = -y_i,\; i = 1, ..., n\}.$$

Finally, any bounded domain $\mathcal{U} \Subset S \setminus C_S$ *is strictly stable.*

References

[1] W.K. Allard, *On the First Variation of a Varifold*, Ann. Math. (2) 95, 417-491 (1972).

[2] ———, *On the first variation of a varifold: Boundary behavior*, Ann. Math. (2) 101, 418-446 (1975).

[3] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, D. Pallara, *"Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems "*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York (2000).

[4] L. Ambrosio, S. Rigot, *Optimal mass transportation in the Heisenberg group*, J. Funct. Anal. 208, no. 2, 261–301 (2004).

[5] L. Ambrosio, F. Serra Cassano, D. Vittone, *Intrinsic regular hypersurfaces in Heisenberg groups*, J. Geom. Anal. 16, no. 2, 187–232 (2006).

[6] L. Ambrosio, B. Kleiner, E. Le Donne, *Rectifiability of sets of finite perimeter in Carnot groups: existence of a tangent hyperplane*, J. Geom. Anal. 19 , no. 3, 509-540 (2009).

[7] G. Anzellotti, *Pairings between measures and bounded functions and compensated compactness*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., IV. Ser. 135, 293-318 (1983).

[8] N. Arcozzi, F. Ferrari & F. Montefalcone, *CC-distance and metric normal of smooth hypersurfaces in sub-Riemannian Carnot groups*, preprint available at ArXiv.

[9] Z.M. Balogh, J.T. Tyson, B. Warhurst, *Sub-Riemannian vs. Euclidean dimension comparison and fractal geometry on Carnot groups*, Adv. Math. 220, no. 2, 560–619 (2009).

[10] J.L Barbosa, M. Do Carmo, *Stability of Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature*, Math. Z. 185, 339-353 (1984).

[11] J.L Barbosa, M. Do Carmo, J.Eschenburg, *Stability of Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in Riemannian Manifolds*, Math. Z. 197, 123-138 (1988).

[12] Z.M. Balogh, C. Pintea, H. Rohner, *Size of tangencies to non-involutive distributions*, to appear in Indiana University Mathematics Journal

[13] V. Barone Adesi, F. Serra Cassano, D.Vittone, *The Bernstein problem for intrinsic graphs in Heisenberg groups and calibrations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 30, no. 1, 17–49 (2007).

[14] E. Bombieri, *"An introduction to minimal currents and parametric variational problems"*, Math. Rep. 2, no. 3, 285–384 (1985).

[15] I. Chavel, *"Riemannian Geometry: a modern introduction"*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.

[16] ———, *Isoperimetric Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[17] J.J Cheng, J.F. Hwang, A. Malchiodi, P. Yang, *Minimal surfaces in pseudohermitian geometry*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., 5, IV, 129-179 (2005).

[18] ———, *A Codazzi-like equation and the singular set for C1 smooth surfaces in the Heisenberg group*, J. Reine Angew. Math., to appear.

[19] J.J Cheng, J.F. Hwang, P. Yang, *Regularity of* C^1 *smooth surfaces with prescribed p-mean curvature in the Heisenberg group*, Math. Ann. 344, No. 1, 1-35 (2009).

[20] G.Q Chen, M. Torres, W.P. Ziemer, *Gauss-Green theorem for weakly differentiable vector fields, sets of finite perimeter, and balance laws*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 62, No. 2, 242-304 (2009).

[21] S.S. Chern, *Minimal submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold*, Mimeographed lecture notes, University of Kansas (1968).

[22] L. Capogna, G. Citti, M. Manfredini, *Smoothness of Lipschitz minimal intrinsic graphs in Heisenberg groups* H^n, $n > 1$, Indiana University Mathematics Journal (2008).

[23] L. Capogna, D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, *The geometric Sobolev embedding for vector fields and the isoperimetric inequality*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 12 (1994).

[24] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, D.M. Nhieu, *Minimal surfaces, surfaces of constant mean curvature and isoperimetry in Carnot groups*, Preprint (2001).

[25] ———, *Sub-Riemannian Calculus on Hypersurfaces in Carnot groups*, Adv. Math. 215, no. 1, 292–378 (2007).

[26] ———, *Integrability of the sub-Riemannian mean curvature of surfaces in the Heisenberg group*, Proceedings of the A.M.S., Volume 140, Number 3, March 2012, Pages 811821.

[27] E. De Giorgi, *Frontiere orientate di misura minima*, Seminario di Matematica della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, 196061. Editrice Tecnico Scientifica, Pisa, 1961.

[28] M. Do Carmo, *Hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature*, Proc. 3rd Int. Symp., Peiscola/Spain 1988, Lect. Notes Math. 1410, 128-144 (1989).

[29] H. Federer, *"Geometric Measure Theory"*, Springer Verlag, 1969.

[30] H. Federer, W.H. Fleming, *Normal and integral currents*, Ann. of Math. (2) 72, 458-520 (1960).

[31] D. Fisher-Colbrie, R. Schoen, *The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of non-negative scalar curvature*, Communications of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. XXXIII, 119-211 (1980).

[32] B. Franchi, R. Serapioni, F.S. Cassano, *Rectifiability and Perimeter in the Heisenberg Group*, Math. Ann., 321, 479-531 (2001).

[33] ———, *Regular hypersurfaces, intrinsic perimeter and implicit function theorem in Carnot groups*, Comm. Anal. Geom., 11, no. 5, 909-944 (2003).

[34] ———, *On the structure of finite perimeter sets in step 2 Carnot groups*, J. Geom. Anal., 13, no. 3, 421-466 (2003).

[35] ———, *Regular submanifolds, graphs and area formula in Heisenberg groups.*, Adv. Math. 211, no. 1, 152–203 (2007) .

[36] N. Garofalo, D.M. Nhieu, *Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and the existence of minimal surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 49 (1996) 1081-1144.

[37] N. Garofalo, S. Pauls, *The Bernstein problem in the Heisenberg group*, arXiv:math.DG/0209065.

[38] E. Giusti,*"Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation"*, Monographs in Mathematics, Vol. 80, Birkhuser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart (1984).

[39] P.A Griffiths, *Exterior Differential Systems and the Calculus of Variations*, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhauser 1982.

[40] M. Gromov,*Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within*, in *"Subriemannian Geometry"*, Progress in Mathematics, 144. ed. by A. Bellaiche and J. Risler, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel (1996).

[41] R. Hermann, *The 2nd variation for Minimal Submanifolds*, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, vol. 16, n. **5** (1966).

[42] R.H. Hladky, S.D. Pauls, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in sub-Riemannian geometry*, J. Differential Geom. 79, no. 1, 111–139 (2008).

[43] R.H Hladky, S.D. Pauls, *Variation of Perimeter Measure in sub-Riemannian geometry*, ArXiv:math/0702237.

[44] A. Hurtado, M. Ritoré, C. Rosales, *The classification of complete stable area-stationary surfaces in the Heisenberg group*, Adv. Math. 224, No. 2, 561-600 (2010).

[45] J. Jost, X.Li Jost, *Calculus of Variations*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 64, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[46] S.G. Krantz, H.R.. Parks, *"The geometry of domains in space"*, Birkhuser Advanced Texts. Boston, MA: Birkhuser. x, 308 p. (1999).

[47] H.B. Lawson, *"Lectures on Minimal Submanifolds"*, Publish or Perish, Inc., Berkeley, 1980

[48] J.M. Lee, *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds* , Springer Verlag, 2003.

[49] J.H. Michael, L.M. Simon, *Sobolev and Mean-value Inequalities on Generalized Submanifolds of* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Comm. on Pure and Applied Math., Vol. XXVI, 361-379 (1973).

[50] V. Magnani, *"Elements of Geometric Measure Theory on sub-Riemannian groups"*, PHD Thesis, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, (2002).

[51] ———, *Characteristic points, Rectifiability and Perimeter measure on stratified groups*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 8, no. 5, 585-609 (2006).

[52] V. Magnani, D. Vittone, *An intrinsic measure for submanifolds in stratified groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 619, 203–232 (2008).

[53] V. Mazja, *"Sobolev Spaces"*, Springer-Verlag (1985).

[54] F. Montefalcone, *"Some Remarks in Differential and Integral Geometry of Carnot Groups"* Tesi di Dottorato– Università di Bologna– Aprile 2004.

[55] ———, *Some relations among volume, intrinsic perimeter and one-dimensional restrictions of BV functions in Carnot groups* Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze, 5, IV, Fasc.1, 79-128 (2005).

[56] ———, *Hypersurfaces and variational formulae in sub-Riemannian Carnot groups*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 87, 453-494 (2007).

[57] ———, *Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities on hypersurfaces in sub-Riemannian Carnot groups*, preprint available at ArXiv.

[58] R. Montgomery, *"A Tour of Subriemannian Geometries, Their Geodesics and Applications"*, AMS, Math. Surveys and Monographs, 91, 2002.

[59] R. Monti, F. Serra Cassano, *Surface measures in Carnot-Carathéodory spaces*, Calc. Var. and Pde, 13, 339-376 (2001).

[60] F. Morgan, *"Geometric measure theory. A beginner's guide"*, Illustrated by James F. Bredt. 4th ed. (English) Amsterdam: Elsevier/Academic Press. viii, 249 p. (2009).

[61] R. Osserman, *"A Survey of Minimal Surfaces"*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematical Studies. 25. New York etc.: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 159 p. (1969).

[62] P. Pansu, *"Geometrie du Group d'Heisenberg"*, These pour le titre de Docteur, 3ème cycle, Universite Paris VII, 1982.

[63] ———, *Submanifolds and differential forms in Carnot manifolds, after M. Gromov et M. Rumin.* (2005).

[64] S.D. Pauls, *Minimal surfaces in the Heisenberg group*, Geom. Dedicata, 104, 201-231 (2004).

[65] W. Pfeffer, *"Derivation and integration"*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. 140. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. xvi, 266 p. (2001).

[66] M. Ritoré, *Examples of area-minimizing surfaces in the sub-Riemannian Heisenberg group* $\mathbb{H}^1$ *with low regularity*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 34, No. 2, 179-192 (2009).

[67] M. Ritoré, C. Rosales, *Area stationary surfaces in the Heisenberg group* $\mathbb{H}^1$, Adv. Math. 219, No. 2, 633-671 (2008).

[68] T. Schick, *Analysis on δ-manifolds of bounded geometry, Hodge-de Rham isomorphism and L^2-index theorem*,Berichte aus der Mathematik. Aachen: Shaker Verlag. ii, 111 p. (1996).

[69] M. Shubin, *Essential self-adjointness for semi-bounded magnetic Schrdinger operators on non-compact manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. 186, No.1, 92-116 (2001).

[70] L.M. Simon, *Lectures on geometric measure theory*, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, Vol. 3 (1983).

[71] J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 88, 62-105 (1968).

[72] M. Spivak, *"Differential Geometry"*, Volume IV, Publisch or Perish, 1964.

[73] E.M. Stein, *"Harmonic Analysis"*, Princeton University Press, 1993.

[74] R.S. Strichartz, *Sub-Riemannian geometry*, J. Diff. Geom., 24 (1986) 221-263. Corrections: J. Diff. Geom., 30, 595-596 (1989).

[75] M.E. Taylor, *"Measure Theory and Integration"*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 76, A.M.S. (2006).

Francescopaolo Montefalcone:
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Padova,
Via Trieste, 63, 35121 Padova (Italy)
E-mail address: montefal@math.unipd.it